

It was ordered that the Reporting Committee be requested to consider what course, if any, can be taken to secure from the Supreme Court of Canada a proper system whereby causes before that Court should not be taken up, either by surprise to counsel, or without a fair opportunity to be in attendance, in view of the long distances which counsel travel in order to attend the Supreme Court. Convocation then rose.

TUESDAY, June the 28th, 1898.

Present, the Treasurer, the Attorney-General, Hon. A. S. Hardy, Messrs. Aylesworth, Barwick, Bayly, Bell, Britton, Clarke, Douglas, Edwards, Guthrie, Hoskin, Martin, Riddell, Ritchie, Shepley, Strathy, Watson and Wilkes.

Dr. Hoskin, from the Discipline Committee, presented the following report :

The Discipline Committee to whom the complaint of His Honour Judge Darnell against Mr. Samuel Simpson Sharpe, of the city of Toronto, student-at-law, was sent for investigation, beg to report that your Committee appointed a day for the purpose of proceeding with the complaint in question. Before the day appointed for such investigation arrived, the said Mr. Sharpe in writing apologized for the act complained of by His Honour Judge Darnell, whereupon the said Judge wrote to the Chairman accepting the said apology and withdrawing his complaint, whereupon the Chairman of your Committee notified the members not to attend on the said investigation, as the matter would not be proceeded with. Your Committee are of opinion that no action should be taken in the matter. The report was received and having been taken into consideration was adopted.

Dr. Hoskin, from the Discipline Committee, then presented the following report :—

The Discipline Committee to whom the report of the Finance Committee in the matter of one J. B. Dixon, had been sent for investigation, beg to report :—

1. That from the said report of the Finance Committee, it appeared that one J. B. Dixon had advertised himself in a Brampton paper as a solicitor.

2. That your Committee appointed a day to proceed with the said investigation and was attended by the solicitor for the Law Society and the said J. B. Dixon and his counsel, and the evidence submitted was taken by your Committee.

3. From said evidence it appeared that the said advertisement was inserted without the knowledge or concurrence of the said Dixon, and was withdrawn the moment it was brought to his notice.

4. It appeared that the said J. B. Dixon is an articled clerk who has not presented himself for examination, and it did not appear from the evidence submitted to your Committee that the said J. B. Dixon had been acting as a solicitor directly or indirectly.

5. Your Committee is of opinion that no further action should be taken in the matter. The report was received, and having been taken into consideration was adopted.

Mr. Shepley, from the Legal Education Committee, reported upon the results of the First Year Examination of the Law School in Easter, 1898.

Ordered that the following gentlemen be allowed their first year examination :—W. N. Munro, A. E. McNab, W. A. Mackinnon, M. G. V. Gould, E. G. Long, T. Gibson, G. E. Buchanan, J. E. Wallbridge, G. E. Taylor, J. A. Peel, A. M. Fulton, W. A. Nisbett, C. W. Moore, P. W. O'Flynn, F. H. A. Davis and C. W. Goodwin (equal), H. A. Tibbetts, J. H. Parker, W. D. B. Turville, W. M. Kellock, J. L. Counsell, G. Bray, M. B. Tudhope, J. F. L. Embury and H. L. Jordan (equal), E. W. Clement, R. H. M. Temple, E. S. Senkler, C. McCrea, A. N. P. Morgan, O. D. Garbutt, J. L. O'Flynn, F. J. S. Martin and P. McDonald (equal), G. E. Kingsford, R. R. Bradley, C. K. Graham, W. B. Scott, T. D. McGee, T. I. McNeece, R. C. H. Cassels, W. B. S. Craig, H. G. Myers,