

After John Gordon and Company, William Brown came into possession of the said property. I believe he was connected at that time with his brother, at least I understood so.

The land mentioned in the first and second page of the Exhibit filed in the cause, marked " Pièce No 2 du demandeur," is, I understand the same property.

Since the brother of Mr Brown has ceased to possess the above property with him, it has been up to this time, in the possession of the Plaintiff alone.

It appears to me that all the above named persons possessed the said property, during the period aforesaid, as proprietor openly and publicly. The person in possession of the mill, for the time being, was generally understood to be the proprietor of the said property.

The property described in the two Exhibits filed in the cause marked " pièce N^o. 3 du demandeur " and " pièce N^o. 4 du demandeur " is the same property.

As tenant of the said property, I did occupy the wharf in question in this cause, always understood that the persons who, after I had left, had possession of the Beauport Mills, had also possession of the wharf.

There was a second wharf at the time I was in possession of the Beauport Mill's forming an angle from the wooden store to near the wharf in question. This second wharf was old and dilapidated and much broken down.

The wharf of which I speak commenced at the corner of the wooden store and angling down to a given distance where there was a ford to pass the river and far lower down was McCallum's wharf, to wit the wharf in question. The wharf in question is about two *arpents* below the corner of the wooden store.

Cross-examined.

The wharf in question which I call McCallum's wharf was on the south-west side of the river or the same side as that upon which the mill is.

Being asked whether the wharf described upon the plan now exhibited to him, marked as the letter I, is upon the site of the wharf of which he has been speaking, as the wharf in question and also as McCallum's wharf, the witness answers that he cannot say, but it appears to him that McCallum's wharf is lower down than the spot indicated.

The witness adds that the plan shewn him is a plan of the premises long before he knew them.

I was a flour miller once. I am now a founder and engineer.

Question.—Is it true that the Plaintiff in this cause is a very wealthy man ?

Question objected to and objection maintained.

The wharf in question of which I have spoken as McCallum's wharf was used by the proprietors of the mill for the purpose of loading and unloading grain and other things for the use of the mill, and the vessels that came loaded for the use of the mill were discharged at that wharf.