WAS MACKENZIE

Lindsey.

It was not until after considerable discussion that judge and counsed succeeded in getting the issue defined, and a large portion of the afternoon was spent trying to ascertain how the disputed points could be brought out so that they could be understood, without travelling from one end to the other of Lyon Mackenzle's political career. Mitimately on the suggestion of plain tiff's own counsel, the hearing was adjourned till to-day to allow the latter to pick out a few illustrations of the book is written." which could be used as covering the whole complaint.

'THOUGHT HE WAS FRIENDLY Plaintiff's allegation is that Dr. Le Sueur so the papers by deceiving him as to his attitude in relation to the subject whose life he had undertaken to write for Morang's series of 'Makers of Canada." Fraud is alleged because Dr. Le Sueur sidd not tell plaintiff that it was he who had been instrumental in getting Dr. James L. Hughos' "Life of Wm. Lyon Mackenzie" rejected on the ground that it was too favorable to the character of Mackenzie. Had he (Mr. Lindsey) known that was Dr. Le Sueur's attitude, the latter would not have got access to the papers. Misuse of the material is also claimed on the ground that while Dr. Le Sueur's attitude, the latter would not have got access to the papers. Misuse of the material is also claimed on the ground in the references found in Mackenzie's papers which would go to show that Mackenzie's papers which would so show that Mackenzie's papers which would

REPEAT/ RÉPÉTITION

King, Rt. Hon. William Lyon Mackenzie (MG 26 J 7 volume 22) William Lyon Mackenzie Clippings - LaSuer case

> **National Archives of Canada** Archives nationales du Canada