

NOT THE WHOLE DOLLAR

Let it be said at the outset that we support World University Service. We commend WUS world-wide welfare—such as the last month's Thailand project—which brings relief to needy students. We like Treasure Van—a project that serves us while it raises money. We commend WUS seminars: Poland last summer, Pakistan next.

Now WUS proposes an international scholarship program. And to finance it WUS proposes that we pay fifty cents each, annually.

It is a small price. The values involved in such exchanges cannot be pinned down in dollars, but for any student who has been roused from his small daily circle they are immense and real. For most of us they are indirect benefits, but they are such as we are willing to pay for. They are educational benefits in a most vital sense.

Guest Editorial

NOT AN EDITORIAL

To the Editor:

I wish to call to your attention a small error that appeared in the Gateway of Friday Dec. 7. What was apparently a letter to the editor somehow managed to appear on the editorial page.

The missive was obviously not an editorial, because:

1. It praised the Students' Council for maintaining a "rather high calibre of debate and meeting procedures" at meetings this year. (Mr. Dick Kupsch, the council reporter for the Gateway, is one not normally given to praise, and as the only Gateway man at the meeting could not possibly have written an editorial in praise of the Council.)

2. It praised the Council for taking its responsibilities seriously "to date." (On the

Unfortunately, WUS has complicated the issue by proposing an alternate choice on the referendum—a dollar instead of a half dollar—which would eliminate the annual welfare fund drive.

We are completely opposed to this alternative. We are completely opposed to reducing charity to an automatic check-off on the fee card.

It may be argued, of course, that just as there are ways to finance relief projects, so there are other ways to finance scholarships, without a compulsory fee. Our point is that the proposed scholarship program is valuable enough that we are willing to finance this way. We are ready to pay that fifty cents.

There is a referendum tomorrow. Support the scholarship program. but do not buy out the fund drive.

same reasoning as above, Mr. Kupsch could not possibly have written this.)

3. It chastised the Council for "giggling" on two occasions, apparently in reference to the one or two brilliant witticisms uttered at the meeting. (This alone shows that the missive could not have been an editorial as for many years the Gateway has industriously clobbered Students' Council for long dreary debates by student stuffed shirts, totally devoid of humor.)

I would submit that probably the letter was written by that zany old staffer Zachary T. Peabogartus Winterbottom, who has of late been attending the Council meetings in place of yourself, the Gateway editor-in-chief.

Respectfully submitted,
D. E. Jenkins,
President,
Students' Council

NOT A COMPETITION

This campus is not unfamiliar with the asinine and the ridiculous. However, a low point was reached at the U of A-UAC joint Students' Council meeting last Saturday.

In a discussion of Varsity Guest Weekend and UAC's University Week (which corresponds in intent to VGW) the idea of competition between the two campuses for student enrollment was raised by UAC.

In rebuttal to a suggestion from U of A that the two campuses pool their resources and talents to promote a "see your Universities" campaign employing VGW as the vehicle, UAC stated that there is a strong movement for independence and autonomy at UAC, embodying a desire for equality with the Edmonton campus, and also some resentment.

Since they are attempting to promote their University, they felt that a joint effort would

give U of A an undue competitive advantage. U of A, according to their line of reasoning, has more to offer, and therefore would attract students who would normally attend UAC.

To avoid competition, Maurice Yacowar, President of UAC's Students' Council, suggested that, while there be a joint effort, U of A should restrict its promotion to Red Deer and North, and UAC advertise from Red Deer South.

While a University identity and pride are commendable, narrow provincialism such as this is foolish to a dangerous extreme.

The time has come for UAC to analyze its ambitions and motives, and concentrate on building an institution that is academically good, rather than concentrating on competing with U of A. Otherwise it will destroy any unity the two institutions possess at present.



Friends. Co-Patriots! Another communist plot has been launched, another vicious assault on the brick-walls of our free-enterprise paradise is underway: the PEACE-SCARE, ladies and gentlemen, is on!

Mr. Khrushchev says what Russia needs is a little capitalism around here. In the meantime, Mr. Castro goes around moodily kicking the tires of his IL-28 bombers, claiming he wouldn't have any of this lousy old hardware cluttering up his parking lots in the first place. And just when Nehru gets ready to defend Pacifism to the last man, "Joe" says he was only kidding.

They've got us baffled! One minute they've got us running around in our backyards selecting the site for an underground mouse-type Christmas retreat, and the next minute we're all running down to the bank buying government bonds to express our newly found confidence in this obviously capable government of ours.

But worry not, we still have our experts; They say: "We must keep our guard up!" Down at the Journal, they have an even brighter idea and say: "We must not let our guard down!" But I, the non-expert, say: next time, when we don't know what the hell to do with our guard, let's just drop it!

And let's scare THEM for a change! We'll have Diefenbaker say that what Canada needs is a little more communism around here, and then Kennedy will call him an Adam Smith revisionist, or maybe an agent of the red conspiracy even. That should produce the first gleeful headlines by a confident Pravda: "Serious split in the Capitalist bloc!"

Next, a royal commission will inspect the Bomarc missile sites, thump

them a little here and there, and when they find out how empty-headed they are (who??) they'll angrily demand removal from Canadian soil. Now Pravda will already get nervous and call this a typical "Wall Street Power Play." To top it all off, we'll send our M.P.s to Pigalle in the first place, thus saving a lot of the taxi bills of those fares between NATO headquarters and other—well, headquarters. This would really frighten the Kremlin witless. They wouldn't know what to do with their guard, keep it up or down or just forget about it.

Yes, sir, we'd have THEM over a barrel for a change. In the meantime, keep worrying, but don't panic!

Manfred H. Rupp

P.S.: Our dear editor advised me to be shorter next time or next time will never come, but I can't omit this one:

Dr. Teller, father of the H-bomb has received the \$50,000 Fermi Award, and he asked not to be mentioned as father of the H-bomb. So maybe we shouldn't. But I can't stop wondering what he's ashamed of . . . ?



Though their hearts be united their answers will be random. I'm in. IN. I'm a random. (The Students' Union told me nothing new.)

"Would you as an individual like a darkroom in SUB?"

A sensible question, Mr. Haysom, but scarcely unambiguous. Are we all to be granted individual darkrooms in SUB if we answer the question positively. Or are you going to give me my darkroom, and the rest be damned.

Or should the question have been . . . would you as an individual like a dark room in SUB? The U may be becoming more liberal every year, but to that extent I rather doubt it.

Though their food be good their pies are indiv.

The cafeteria has returned to the insidious indiv chicken pie. The years drawn on, and every day, day after imperturbable day their pies are indiv. The McGooey Cup cometh soon. We await the return of the Indiv Chicken Pie.

Which remindeth me. The cafeteria has a policy of discrimination. On Friday everyweek, a non-meat meal is served for the Catholics, plus the eternal Indivs, plus a meat meal which is generally pork.

The Jews, I assume, are no more beguiled by the Indiv than I. Let them eat meat.

Though he be one yet he shall be as ten of his enemies are none.

What strength has our admirable president of SU obtained that mere people topple like so many card houses?

Davy J's locker be filled with those who have been felled by his perplexing personality.

For did not his opponent wither and FADE, FADE, fade away in last year's election.

For did he not become the NFCUS prey by the casting into darkness of his foes.

May he meet Young Peter for the prime ministership of state, and may they both fade away.

Though their sound be loud, their voices are not heard.

Recently in error I ate lunch in SUB cafeteria. I attempted to converse. But against the clamor and the tumult provided by zealous Radsoccers nothing could be heard.

Their speakers they turn to ten and revel in the tintinabulations of shattered nerve cords.

When will they ever learn that their voices be not wanted in the lunch hour?

One of their services should be to provide quiet background music. May they listen and learn.

Though they have sound and fury it signifieth nothing.

Though my voice be one, year shall I be not heard for truth cannot be delivered unto organization mankind.

THE GATEWAY

Member of the Canadian University Press

Editor-in-Chief Bentley Le Baron

Associate Editor Bev Woznow Managing Editor Branny Schepanovich
NEWS—Doug Walker, editor. Richard Kupsch, Don Thomas, Z. P. T. Winterbottom Esq., Loretta Blamonte, Jon Whyte, Gordon Boulter, Al Bragg, Adriana Aibl, Elwood Johnson, Robin Hunter, Omayya Al Karmy, Ivy Bourcier, April Belik, Petey Sharpe.

FEATURES—Carol Anderson, editor. Lynne Greason, Lexy Dryburgh, David Winfield, Chris Evans.

SPORTS—Bill Winship, editor. Bob Dwernychuk, Brian Flewelling, Sandy Kirstein, Ken Graham, Ray St. Arnaud, Mike Horrocks, Dave Reece.

FINE ARTS—Bev Gietz, editor. Ross Rudolph, Andy Brooks, Elan Galper, Bob Pounder, Bob Taylor, Don Wells, Marie dal Gamo.

PHOTOGRAPHY—Con Stenton, director; Kendal Rust, Heinz Moller, editors; Carl Nishimura, Ed Devai, Jens Tabur, Bill Owens, Gene Hattori, Eric Abel.

EDITORIAL—Bob Hall, consulting editor; Jennifer Ehly, CUP editor; Peter Kirchmeir, Tuesday editor; Bill Samis, Ralph Bat, Jon Whyte, columnists; Catherine Ford, party editor.

MAKE-UP—Dieter Buse, editor. Dave Fleming, Peter Kirchmeir, Bill Winship, Shirley Howard, Michael Jones.

PROOFREADERS—Ray Huot, manager. Diane Hollingsworth, Susan Gathercole, Helen Anstruther, Marilyn Johnstone, Linda Clendenning.

CARTOONISTS—Ken Rentlers, Alex Kachmar, Don Wells, Silas Pepper.

Advertising Manager Dieter Buse Business Manager W. A. Dinwoodie

FINAL COPY DEADLINE

For Tuesday Edition:
News, Notices 7 p.m. Sunday

For Friday Edition:
News, Notices 7 p.m. Tuesday
Advertising 4:30 p.m. Monday

Opinions expressed by contributors to this paper are not necessarily those of The Gateway or its staff. The editor-in-chief is responsible for all material published herein.

Office Telephone — 433-1155