

(b) That *William Rowland* and *Emmanuel Ellison* "were proved" to have been guilty of corrupt practices at said Election,

(c) That I have no reason to believe that corrupt practices extensively prevailed at the said Election.

I have the honor to be, Sir,  
Your obedient servant,  
J. G. SPRAGGE,  
J.

To the Honorable  
The Speaker of the House of Commons,  
Ottawa.

---

NORTH PERTH CONTROVERTED ELECTION.

SIR,—In pursuance of the Statute in that behalf,—I beg to certify to you in relation to the Election for the Electoral District for the North Riding of the County of *Perth*, that a Petition of *Thomas Redford* and *William McGriegan* against the Election and Return of Mr. *Andrew Monteith* as a Member for the Dominion House of Commons, came on to be heard before me in the Court House in the Town of *Stratford* in due form of Law on the 7th day of June instant.

At the conclusion of the said trial I determined that the said Election was and is void, and I now certify such determination to you in pursuance of the Statute, by reason of bribery committed by an Agent of the sitting Member.

I also beg to report that no corrupt practice has been proved to have been committed by or with the knowledge and consent of either Candidate at such Election,

That *Hugh Grant* was proved at the said trial to have been guilty of corrupt practices at the said Election as the Agent of the said sitting Member.

And further that there has not been proved before me nor was any evidence offered for that purpose that corrupt practices extensively prevailed at the said Election to which the Petition relates for the reason stated in my notes of the trial.

I have the honor to be, Sir,  
Your most obedient servant  
ADAM WILSON,  
J.

June 10th, 1875.

To the Honorable  
The Speaker of the House of Commons,  
Dominion of *Canada*, *Ottawa*.