

H

I was in a hurry as I was "pulling out" starting to drive to Cochrane. I said to Christie "I had better note on the back of exhibit B to show what this was given as collateral for, and asked him to look up the dates of the four notes. He said to me "Never mind just now, put it down in a general way as I think those are all the notes you have here and it will take me some time to look up the dates." A. C. Sparrow and myself filled up exhibit B together in my office from a memorandum made by Christie showing the amount of the four notes. This was after Mrs. Sparrow had signed it. She endorsed it in blank for Sparrow. I did not see her endorse it. I never spoke to Mrs. Sparrow that I am aware of about exhibit B or exhibit A. When exhibit A came due Sparrow and I brought a renewal to Christie that was endorsed by  
 10 H. A. Sparrow and it was not put through. There was one or more of the other four notes due, I think, and I told Christie that I would give him the \$564.50 renewal provided he would renew the others of the four notes that were then due. He refused to do this and I refused to give him the renewal of the \$664.50. This was under instructions from Sparrow. I had not seen Mrs. Sparrow about any of the notes. Sparrow brought all of these \$664.50 notes to me. I gave the last renewal back to Sparrow and he tore it up. It was for three months I think. When the first note was given I could hardly say if Mrs. Sparrow was the owner of or held real or personal estate or both in her own name. (Mr. Beck objects to evidence of this character of Mrs. Sparrow's ownership.) I think from Mrs. Sparrow's statements in Court in one or more lawsuits that she claimed to be the owner of the property they were then living on and are still  
 20 living on. This was prior to the time the notes were given. At that time, May, 1890, I could not say if Angus Sparrow was indebted to me, as our dealings were mixed up. I never had any dealings with Mrs. Sparrow; I could not say at the time the first renewal was given and the second renewal was made out if A. C. Sparrow was indebted to me. At the present time he claims an amount from myself and Christie. The six notes marked exhibits C 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, for \$80.00 each are signed by me and represent the \$80.00 spoken of as one of the four notes.

Examination of T. B. Lafferty continued this 28th day of April, A. D. 1891.

Exhibit F is the last renewal of lien note for \$313.50 when the first note for \$664.50 was given to LeJeune, Smith & Co.; there were present Christie and myself; I don't think Sparrow was present; I think Sparrow was present when exhibit A was given to Christie for  
 30 LeJeune, Smith & Co. When I went with the second renewal Christie and I were present this was the note which was not put through. I handed Christie exhibit B and went with the second renewal note to Christie, but am not sure whether Sparrow or I handed him exhibit A as I think we were both present.

Q. Did you tell Christie that Mrs. Sparrow was an accommodation endorser on exhibit A or exhibit B or both of them?

A. Christie requested me to get her endorse exhibit B as collateral to these four notes and it was at his request that I got it. He had frequently requested me to do this. When exhibit B came due Christie asked me to get a renewal of it or get another of the same amount and for the same purpose which I did.

40 Q. Did you not tell Christie that Sparrow owed you a debt in connection with the homestead (the buildings) and that if you could get her endorsement you would get so much of your claim in this way if they had to pay the note?