lack of information regarding such exceptions was cited by the majority of the Committee in its recommendation in its previous report regarding the postponement of the establishment of collectives.

In light of the Minister's evidence regarding agreement on copyright exceptions, and in view of the short time horizon before stage two is introduced, the Committee no longer feels that this postponement is necessary and therefore recommends that the Senate not insist on amendment 2 of its report on Bill C-60.

Further, given the commitment by the Minister to allow consultations between officials and artists and curators and museum managers to arrive at an agreed upon exhibition right for stage two of copyright reform, the Committee recommends that the Senate not insist on amendment 1 of its report on Bill C-60 which called for the deletion of clause 2 respecting exhibition rights.

Honourable senators, I move the adoption of this report.

Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (Leader of the Opposition): Honourable senators, I do not intend to take very long in my comments on this particular committee report. Senator Doyle has said that he was joyful at the results of the committee meeting. I must say that I was surprised on being informed by Senator Doyle as he left the building on Friday that the committee had concluded in a mood of sweetness and light and had reached an agreement and that, therefore, the report which we have received today would be forthcoming. Honourable senators, I am pleased that that has occurred and that the dialogue that has taken place between the minister and the government, and the Senate and the standing committee, has resulted in this particular outcome.

I wanted to speak to the chairman myself about the committee deliberations on Friday. I found that he was in Ireland on Monday and was able to get in touch with him late on Monday—at least it was late for him, although not so late for me—and he certainly confirmed the substance of what Senator Doyle has placed before us today. I understand that consultations have taken place and will continue to take place between the interested parties. I understand that a number of exceptions have been identified and that further exceptions may be identified in future.

Moreover, I understand that the minister had given a definite undertaking that, all things being equal, the second phase would be proceeded with in the fall. Senator Sinclair certainly regarded this development as positive and of sufficient importance to agree that the report, which we have received today, should be forthcoming. Honourable senators, that certainly satisfied me and it has been strengthened by the report that Senator Doyle has given us today.

I can only congratulate the chairman and the members of the committee for having reached this result. I certainly am not as expert on this matter as are Senator Doyle and other members of the committee, but at least I can say that, as a result of their work, the consciousness and the understanding of the problem has certainly been increased among partliamentarians and, it is hoped, amongst the public also. I must say that I have a much better knowledge now than I had up to this point of the issues that are involved in this copyright legislation.

Therefore, honourable senators, I have no hesitation in agreeing that this matter be moved forward in the way recommended by the committee.

• (1430)

Hon. Sidney L. Buckwold: Honourable senators, as a member of the committee, I have a few words following on those of my leader. In my opinion the action taken by the Senate committee was well worthwhile. Bill C-60 in its orginal presentation had some serious problems associated with it. Without going into those problems, which has been done very ably by Senator Doyle, I think it is important to remind ourselves and the country that, as a result of the actions of the Senate and the committee in determining the future of this bill, the whole process was speeded up in the sense that the creators and users of various copyrights were brought together. When you think of 490 museums that faced problems and all the educational institutions, the schools and libraries that had problems, I am sure you will agree that bringing together all the parties enabled the difficulties that had been created to be more readily resolved. As has been expressed, all of us are delighted that we have been able to speed up the process. I hope we end up with a bill on phase two that solves the problems associated with the original bill.

Before I close I should like to thank the minister for her very cooperative attitude toward the committee, which was responsible for the speed with which the difficulties were resolved. She was certainly receptive to what the committee put forth and encouraging in her attempt to meet the problems facing the bill and some of the suggestions of the committee.

Motion agreed to and report adopted.

[Translation]

## **PRIVATE BILL**

## REGIONAL VICAR FOR CANADA OF THE PRELATURE OF THE HOLY CROSS AND OPUS DEI—CONSIDERATION OF REPORT OF COMMITTEE—ORDER STANDS

On the Order:

Resuming the debate on the motion of the Honourable Senator Neiman, seconded by the Honourable Senator Cottreau, for the adoption of the Twenty-First Report of the Standing Senate Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs (Bill S-7, An Act to incorporate the Regional Vicar for Canada of the Prelature of the Holy Cross and Opus Dei, with two amendments) presented in the Senate on May 25, 1988.—(Honourable Senator Hébert).

Hon. Jacques Hébert: Order stands.

Hon. Jacques Flynn: Senator Hébert, when will you speak on this agenda item?

Senator Hébert: Well, if you insist-