

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: The new Government would get the advantage of it.

Form B was agreed to.

On Form D—oath or affirmation of honourably discharged military elector:

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: I should like to make a change in this form to harmonize with the other I made, by inserting in the sixth line, after the word "discharge," the following words: "or, if an officer, I produce the official letter or document from competent authority releasing me from service."

Hon. Mr. LANDRY: But the oath does not recite what has taken place.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: It is proposed to amend it by this recital.

Hon. Mr. THOMPSON: A man who is rejected as being medically unfit is discharged?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: He never becomes a member of the Force.

Hon. Mr. THOMPSON: Suppose he is two or three months in Canada and is trained, and then reported for medical unfitness, he goes out, would he get an honourable discharge?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: Yes.

Hon. Mr. THOMPSON: And if he goes to the front anywhere, he would get a vote under this Act?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: Yes.

Hon. Mr. THOMPSON: And his relatives would get a vote under this Act?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: Not under this Act.

Hon. Mr. CLORAN: What is the object of that amendment? Is not a soldier just as good as an officer?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: My honourable friend was not here when I explained yesterday that under the Militia Act a private or a non-commissioned officer receives a discharge on leaving the service. An officer does not; he is simply relieved or released from service. This amendment provides that that shall be equivalent to the discharge of the private.

Hon. Mr. CLORAN: I understand that now. I want to point out that when a soldier is discharged he has no more pay and no more honour. When an officer is discharged, you let him down easily. Does that officer remain under pay?

Hon. Mr. LANDRY.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: No, he goes off the list.

Hon. Mr. DOMVILLE: If he were in the Senate he would still draw his pay.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: No.

The amendment was agreed to, and form D, as amended, was agreed to.

The Bill as amended was reported.

LIVE STOCK BILL.

CONSIDERED IN COMMITTEE AND REPORTED.

On motion of Hon. Sir James Lougheed, the Senate went into committee on Bill 123, an Act respecting live stock. Hon. Mr. Thompson in the Chair.

On section 5—live stock exchange at stock-yards:

Hon. Mr. BOSTOCK: Is there any provision in this Bill that will prevent these live stock exchanges becoming close corporations in time?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: The matter is entirely in the minister's hands. My honourable friend might look at section 4.

The Bill was reported.

OTTAWA SEPARATE SCHOOLS CASE.

DISCUSSION CONTINUED.

The Senate resumed from September 10 the adjourned debate on the statement and inquiry of Hon. Mr. Landry respecting the Ottawa Separate Schools case.

Hon. Mr. BEIQUE: I have only a few words to add to this debate. I agree entirely with every word that has been said by the honourable member from Ottawa (Hon. Mr. Belcourt). The Act as passed by the province of Ontario is in complete disregard of the judgment rendered by the Privy Council and in violation of the most sacred rights. I had hoped that a few voices at least would have been heard in this House amongst the honourable members speaking the English language, who would have resented legislation of that kind, which treats the judgment of the Privy Council as a scrap of paper. When the venerable member for Hastings (Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell) adjourned the debate, I hoped, appreciating as I do his sound judgment, his great experience, the full possession which he has retained of all his faculties—I was hoping that with the serenity of judgment pertaining to his great age he would have found