

Motions for Papers

The house divided on the motion (Mr. Fulton) which was negatived on the following division:

YEAS	
Messrs:	
Argue	Knight
Bell	Knowles
Blackmore	Leboe
Blair	Lennard
Brooks	Low
Bryce	Macdonnell
Eryson	McBain
Cameron (Nanaimo)	McCullough (Moose Mountain)
Campbell	McLeod
Cardiff	Mitchell (London)
Castleden	Montgomery
Charlton	Nesbitt
Churchill	Nicholson
Coldwell	Nickle
Diefenbaker	Nowlan
Drew	Patterson
Ellis	Pearkes
Fairclough, Mrs.	Quelch
Ferguson	Regier
Fulton	Robinson (Bruce)
Gagnon	Small
Green	Stewart (Winnipeg North)
Hahn	Thatcher
Hamilton (Notre Dame de Grace)	Thomas
Hansell	Trainor
Harkness	Tustin
Hees	White (Hastings-Frontenac)
Herridge	White (Middlesex East)
Hodgson	Winch
Holowach	Wylie
Howe (Wellington-Huron)	Yuill
Johnson (Kindersley)	Zaplitny—66.
Johnston (Bow River)	
Jones	

NAYS	
Messrs:	
Anderson	Gingras
Ashbourne	Goode
Batten	Gour (Russell)
Benidickson	Gregg
Bennett (Grey North)	Habel
Bertrand	Hanna
Boisvert	Hardie
Bourget	Harris
Brown (Essex West)	Harrison
Buchanan	Hellyer
Campney	Henderson
Cannon	Henry
Caron	Hosking
Carrick	Howe (Port Arthur)
Carter	Huffman
Cauchon	Jutras
Cavers	Kickham
Croll	Kirk (Antigonish-Guysborough)
Decore	Kirk (Shelburne-Yarmouth-Clare)
Deschatelets	Lafontaine
Deslieres	Langlois (Gaspé)
Dickey	Lapointe
Dumas	Leduc (Gatineau)
Dupuis	Lefrançois
Eyre	Legare
Fairey	Lesage
Follwell	Lusby
Fraser (St. John's East)	MacDougall
Gardiner	MacEachen
Garland	MacKenzie
Garson	MacNaught
Gauthier (Lake St. John)	
Gauthier (Nickel Belt)	

McCann	Purdy
McCubbin	Richard (Ottawa East)
McCulloch (Pictou)	Robichaud
McIlraith	Robinson (Simcoe East)
McIvor	Rouleau
McMillan	St. Laurent
McWilliam	Shipley, Mrs.
Mang	Simmons
Marler	Stick
Matheson	Stuart (Charlotte)
Michaud	Studer
Mitchell (Sudbury)	Thibault
Pearson	Tucker
Philpott	Valois
Pickersgill	Valois
Pommer	Weir
Pouliot	Weselak
Power (St. John's West)	White (Waterloo South)
Proudfoot	Winters—102.

Mr. Speaker: I declare the motion lost. I had occasion to give my views to the house with respect to divisions that are being asked for on notices of motions which are opposed.

Mr. Gillis: Before you proceed with that matter, Mr. Speaker, may I announce that I was paired with the Minister of National Health and Welfare (Mr. Martin).

Mr. Speaker: It is precisely because I am convinced that hon. members should perhaps go over the theory behind this standing order with a view to changing their attitude with respect to these motions that I am going to quote from the debate which took place in the session of 1909-10 when standing order 51 was adopted as we have it today. At columns 8370 and 8371 of *Hansard* of April 29, 1910, there is the following:

Sir Wilfrid Laurier: . . . The object of this rule is obvious.

The rule as we have it today. Almost every day we have notices of motions which go through unopposed, and these may be marked by the member with an asterisk, and the motion may pass without any discussion.

Mr. Sproule: I think this suggestion is a wise one and will result in economizing time.

Mr. Lancaster: What would happen supposing an hon. gentleman puts a notice of motion in the way indicated to show that he did not want to discuss it, while another hon. gentleman might want to discuss that very matter? I do not think any one hon. gentleman should have the right . . . to control the opinion of the whole house on that matter. I appreciate what the Prime Minister is trying to do and I am with him; but it seems to me we are going further here than we intend to go. We do not want to have half a dozen different notices of motion on the same subject on the order paper. When a notice of motion is on the order paper it seems to me that the consent of the house ought to be obtained if that motion is not to be discussed; else we would be in the incongruous position that, because some matter was of great importance and several hon. gentlemen thought it should be discussed, one of them would be able to say that it should not be discussed.

Sir Wilfrid Laurier: I doubt that, in point of fact, that this is very likely to arise. If any hon. member on the other side puts a motion on the order paper asking for the production of papers and desires that the motion should be