

| | |
|---|----|
| PART III. Application of the Sinai Model Elsewhere | 32 |
| 1. Potential Candidates for the Sinai Model | 32 |
| Case 1: Golan Heights | 32 |
| Case 2: West Bank — Jordan River Valley | 34 |
| Case 3: Israel-Lebanon | 35 |
| Case 4: Central Europe..... | 36 |
| a) Signal-to-Noise Ratio: Problems of Terrain and Traffic | 37 |
| b) The Problem of Defensible Borders | 37 |
| c) The Problem of Asymmetrical Constraints | 38 |
| d) Impediments to Identifying a Credible Third Party for Verification | 38 |
| e) Designing Effective Verification Procedures for Central Europe | 39 |
| f) The Geographic Setting: Fulda Gap/Intra-German Border | 40 |
| g) Extending the Concept of Limited-Forces Zones | 40 |
| h) Verification and Compliance | 41 |
| 2. Other Potential Candidates for the Sinai Model | 43 |
| 3. Potential Impediments to Applying the Sinai Model | 43 |
| 4. Implications of the Sinai Experience for Canada | 44 |
| Conclusion | 47 |
| Bibliography | 50 |

List of Figures

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Map 1 Egyptian-Israeli Sinai Disengagement Agreement, January 18, 1974 | 4 |
| Map 2 Second Egyptian-Israeli Sinai Disengagement Agreement and Early Warning Area, September 4, 1975 | 7 |
| Map 3 Egypt-Israel Peace Treaty, March 26, 1979 | 20 |
| Table 1 Evolution of the Multimethod Interlocking Verification System in the Sinai | 15 |
| Table 2 Canadian Armed Forces Participation in International Peacekeeping Forces and Observer Missions — 1947 Onwards | 46 |