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## INFALMIEILITY. (2)

There are always riskes of these excel. lent infallible persons being compelled to make themselves disagreeable in society; there is no telling at what mo. ment it may not be their duty to renionstrate with ust it may be in our theology or in our taste in cookery we luave erred, in our concention of the Fishery Question, or in our sentiments as to blue china; in our respective appreciations of George Eliot and Miss Broughton's styles of literature, or of Sliss Jones' and Miss Robinson's styles of duess. For the blame of the heresy is in differing from them, and the greater or less of the subject-matter is of no moment, so regarded. Thus they are called on to break our heads with their precious balms on a miscellaneous variety of occasions of which there is no possible lorewarning for us. The part of echo is the snfest one to play with tigem, but though a good deal may be made of it with tact and attentiveness, it cannot always be carricd out in society with the requisite completeness; in the exchange of conversation the tures of the talkers inevitably get shifted, and an echo that has to speak first may too usually fail to reproduce what is going to be said to it. No matter how docile we are, sooner or later we do our echoing with a blunder; and then, of course, We have to be reproved. The infallible people ao not always lose their tempers very badily with us - sometimes they aro sorry for us; and a goud many of them, even it they are resentifl, know how to avoid down right rudencss; but nüder the most favorable circumstances reproval falls congenially on discourse. Being contradicted may be tolcrable, and being argued with is ratier pléasantily stim. alding, but being put under discipline
of having to conslder one's malf reprimanded, is a social penance of an altogether aggravating character;'.and the necessity of inflicting it under which the possessors of infallibili ${ }^{\wedge}$. $1 a$. bor, makes that guarity decidedly less pleasant to the companions of those Who passess than it is to themselves.

But it is about meaningless matters and in closest intimacy that infallible persons are most depressing to the spirits-in matters, that is, of mere personal tastes and habits. The poorces creature of us all considers himaself licensed to, be his own authority on those pointa, provided he transgresses no law or custom, or constesy, and barms neither himself nor anybody clse, but may, he believes, have his own opinion as-to what is enfoyable and what is comfortable, and what amuses him. He will be guided in the great things of thought and practical life by duly constituted precedents as a prudent man should; but in the minor details of existence, those whick can affect no one but himself, he will allow himself opinions of his own. But unhappily often, for domestic peace, it is just in such details that infalible people can least bear dissimilarity from their ideas. It the dissimilarity is in matters of opinion, and on large subjects, why, people are not atating their creeds, theological, political, or social, every day, nor acting npon them every day; and so there are intervals of abeyance, and the dissimilarity, not being an verlasting fret, may be forgotten for long periods, and, so being forpotten, lessen into nothing. But it tixe dissimilarity is in some small point of mere taste or convenience, takin. visible form and of requent repetition, there is a recurring assertion of -it, an ostentation of it, as at were, in action, which, to a person of infallible temper. ament is infinitely aggreesivo.
If, for instance, sour wife taking her notions for a final law, has resolved that nobodje cań wash in unwarmed water with impunity, and you persist in taking uniormed baths and being the better tor them, you are daily outraging her sense of righte and vexing
her with a slar on the certainty of ber knowledge of what everybody ought to do. Consequently each added buth is an added wrong, and each day begins with what your wife feels to be on your part a rebellion-not a rebellion against her-for she claims no control, but against the immodiate truth of things as proved by the belief in her mina.
Or, supposing it is you who are incallible, and your wife will not,--on the plea that they swell her feet and make Ler head ache and spoil her boots,wear rubbers, although you think wearing rubbers would be just the thing for her health and comfort. Of course every time bhe went out in wet weather, protected by mere double soles and rubberless, she would ba aiming a blow at vour peace of mind and convincing you of her fatal unfitness and even of her irrerragable sense of things as they ought to be. More married discord comes in all probability from the infallibility of one, or still worse, of both of the partrurs, than from all real wrong that goes or might go to the Divorce Courts. "Incompatibility," means that both partners are infallible. It is best explained in $a$ case not of man and wite, but ol brother and sister-or perhaps of two sisters-in the simple and pregriant lines-
" Molly, my slster, and I fell out,
And what do you finink it was all about?
She liked coffee, and I liked tea,
And that was the reason we could not agree."
It is evident that even if Molly and the other person-brother or sisterhad only one meal a day at whish they drank tea or coffee, as the case might be, the frequeney of the action for reproof and recrimination between theni. each infallible and hent on mending. the other's taste and practice, would be: as great as to alienate affection in, say.: it thes were very genuinely attached to: besin with, two rears', and onice a. day is too frequent for two infallible. people to find cacls other obstinatels wrong in:

