

FOR NEUTRAL STRIP ALONG THE BOUNDARY

Concur in U.S. Proposal to Reserve Land Along International Line—To Have Feasible on Either Side of Boundary Line Reserved by Governments.

Ottawa, August 13.—An order-in-council approving of the recommendation of the Minister of the Interior that Canada concur in the proposal made by the United States government that joint action be taken for reservation of a strip of land sixty feet wide on each side of the Canada-Alaska boundary line, and also that the two governments take into consideration the possibility of making a similar reservation along the whole length of the 1,000 miles of international boundary not comprised in the water boundary. Along the 1,300 miles of the Strait of Georgia to the Lake of the Woods, except for a few points in British Columbia, there is already a reservation of sixty-six feet of a road, the United States government has reserved a similar strip of sixty-six feet for the 60 miles westward from the summit of the Rockies. It is now proposed to take joint international action to secure the permanence of these reservations.

The Minister of the Interior states that along the line from the St. Lawrence river to the Strait of Georgia, the difficulty of enforcing the laws of the two countries along an extensive boundary line is enhanced by the property adjacent to the line, on both sides, has passed into private hands, and that many points there exist so-called "line houses" which are in close proximity to the line, and which, in many instances, as has been charged, have been used for smuggling or for the evasion of the law to a serious extent. While it may not be practicable, by reason of the expense which it would involve, to apply the effective remedy of removing these houses altogether, it is a matter for consideration whether there are any steps which the two governments could take to prevent the erection in future of further "line houses" of this kind.

THIS ROMANCE HALTED.

Elopement of S. A. Officer and Quaker Lass Prevented by Parents.

Philadelphia, Aug. 13.—An officer of the Salvation Army tried to elope with a daughter of one of the oldest families of Burlington County, New Jersey, but the plans miscarried through betrayal by a jealous cousin.

The Quaker community of Burlington is much alarmed, and gossip over the affair rages on every side. The name of the girl is Miss Mary Woolman, and her would-be husband is S. A. Hewitt, a captain in the Salvation Army.

The girl's parents disapproved of her love of Hewitt, though none of them is a member of the Society of Friends, they have antipathy to all things having military favor, and inherited from old-time Quaker ancestors. The Salvation Army is too militant for them.

Possessed of good society connections and used to every luxury from childhood, Captain Hewitt, two years ago, renounced all these to devote his life to missionary work on the notorious East Side of New York City. His family reside on Washington Heights.

It was nearly eight years ago that Miss Woolman, a graduate of Vassar College, also enlisted in the Salvation Army. While passing along a street one afternoon, she witnessed the first Salvation Army service in Burlington.

She was impressed more by the spirit and the mission of Captain and Mrs. Cruikshank, who had that day opened their headquarters in a back street, east of High, than by the music. She paid a visit to the captain and his wife. The story they told her of the great work mapped out for them among the poor, and benighted, appealed to her and she at once manifested an active interest in the campaign against vice.

Though her mother labored to dissuade her, she held to her convictions and each evening her voice led the services at the street corners, where men and girls from the countryside had collected for a night frolic.

It is said by her most intimate acquaintances that Miss Woolman was bitter man hunter, declining to go into society, or to encourage any sentimental attentions from the opposite sex. Yet it was love at first sight.

Captain Hewitt, transferred from New York to Burlington, assumed the direction of the mission on Union street, by companions and others, curious as to the effect of the captain's commanding presence upon the young girl, watched the struggle day by day the new feeling gained the mastery over her independent spirit, until it was whispered that the match was assured.

Mrs. George Woolman, her mother, however, was appalled of every move on the part of the young couple, and she employed every means to put an end to the romance.

The young people were to have been married at Bristol, just across the river in Pennsylvania. The prevention of the elopement is attributed to a traitorous device, an intimate friend of Captain Hewitt, said to be a member of the Salvation Army. He seemed to have been a close friend of Miss Woolman, and to be a native of Burlington County, blunt and broad-faced, while Captain Hewitt has polished manners, and in speech reveals a cultured mind.

Miss Woolman is said to be twenty-five years old, and Captain Hewitt six years her senior. Miss Woolman has a fortune of her own, estimated at nearly \$100,000.

CASE OF HYPNOTIC SURGERY. Doctors Expect Further Development of Treatment in Obstetrical Operations.

Philadelphia, August 13.—Surgeons and physicians in this city were very much interested in a dispatch from Worcester, Mass., to the effect that a son had been born to Mr. and Mrs. Guy Hemenway, of Pittsfield, Mass., with the mother was in a hypnotic state. They said that while this was the first case of the kind they have heard of in the country, they placed credence in the story, expressed the opinion that there would be further development along the same line.

The dispatch also reported that the mother and child to be doing well. The woman was placed in the hypnotic sleep by her husband, who has attained some local fame as a hypnotist. The family physician was present.

One of the surgeons seen yesterday who was deeply interested in this story of hypnotism being used in an unusual way, was Dr. Ernest Haeblen, professor of obstetrics in the Medico-Chirurgical Hospital.

"I believe the story," he said, "and it is a very interesting one in my profession. It means merely the use of hypnotism instead of an anesthetic in cases of this kind. The final result is that it makes the patient unconscious of everything, including the pain of the operation, and it puts the person in the hands of either or any other anesthetic agent."

Questioned as to the dangers of its use, Dr. Haeblen replied that this is the important part. "I think," he continued, "in capable hands, and that implies a great deal. There should be the proper attention in the selection of the person who places the patient in the hypnotic sleep, for the kind of suggestions made are important. Like any other method, it should be used in a safe manner, and the danger of removing the spell when desired."

"Hypnotism is yet in its infancy," said the surgeon in answer to a question as to the probable success of its use in such cases, "so that it is too soon to say with any degree of certainty whether it will be an effective remedy of removing these houses altogether, it is a matter for consideration whether there are any steps which the two governments could take to prevent the erection in future of further 'line houses' of this kind."

The cabinet has concurred in the suggestion and the British ambassador at Washington will lay the proposal before the United States government.

BOYCOTT THEIR VICAR. Sussex Parishioners Do Not Like Him and Refuse To Attend Service.

London, Aug. 13.—At Victoria road school, Northwick, the Humane Society's certificate was presented to Henry Holland, aged thirteen, for having been expelled from school for drowning in the River Dane. This was the second occasion in which he had been expelled for the same offence.

Justice Bigham ejaculated: "During the trial at Leeds Assizes of a case which concerned rival hairdressers, the question was raised as to whether there were any ladies' hairdressing establishments in Leeds."

"Yes, there are," said Mr. Bigham; "I know, because I have been in one. They treated me with respect, and I was shaved, and they turned me out."

Will of David Christie Murray. Mr. David Christie Murray, well-known as "Moose," and author of numerous novels, who died in Aug. last, aged 60 years left a will, the value of his property being estimated at £20,000. His will is written on a small sheet of paper, and reads as follows: "This is the last will and testament of me, David Christie Murray, novelist, journalist and dramatist, at this moment domiciled at 115, Brookland road, Balham, London, S.W. Whatever may belong to me at the time of my death I give and bequeath to my dear friend and relative, Mr. John Murray, of London, and to my dear loved companion, Alice Marion Dudley. In same mind and full health, I append my name—David Christie Murray."

The will is undated, but an affidavit has been filed which states that it was made by Murray on August 13.

Boycotting a Vicar. The Vicar of Staplefield, Sussex, is experiencing the truth of the saying, "Sixteen people won't be driven." Dissatisfied with the parishioners' refusal to attend church on Sundays, and the sacred edifice, once filled to capacity, is now empty.

HAILED DOWN ROYAL BANNER. Can Only Be Flown When the King is Present. London, Aug. 7.—When the Duchess of Albany during the week visited the Dufferin pagoda, a Royal Standard was run up over a hotel where she lunched. The vicar, who has for his ward a lady, and the result of her calling a meeting to decide how to remedy the state of affairs was with a resolution calling upon the vicar to resign. The vicar attended the meeting, and opened the proceedings with prayer, leaving shortly afterwards.

CHILD BECOMES HEIRRESS. Was King to Old Woman Who Bequeaths Her a Fortune. Philadelphia, Aug. 13.—Twenty-year-old Elsie J. Murphy, who lives with her mother in a house on Chestnut street, Westmoreland, is reported, has inherited a fortune left her by the little girl, when both of them were living in Horsham, Pa. Elsie is the widow of a Captain of the Pacific Railway, which will be a gain rather than a loss by the advent of their new road. It means the

CRIMINALITY OF COMBINES IS DEFINED IN ALBERTA

An Analysis of the Decision of the Supreme Court on the Combine Case Shows There is No Possibility of Evading the Law which Declares the Fixing of Prices in Restraint of Trade Illegal—The Liberty of a Man's Mind is Just as Much a Subject of the Law of Protection as the Liberty of a Man's Body.

The lumber case has come and gone, the numbers of this association were found guilty of illegal restraint of trade, but the most important part of this famous trial is only now unfolding itself to the public mind. This is that the judgments pronounced by the Chief Justice in the first instance and by the court on appeal in the appeal are unique on this continent at least, in their unequivocal and candid finding of the criminality of combines. These were not just any ordinary restraint of trade, but a conspiracy influencing the case, no doubt, to weaken the argument of judgment.

The law for Alberta has been now clearly defined and the commercial world can no longer go on setting its eyes to the full weight of the statute. Section 498 of the Criminal Code, providing against restraint of trade, has been interpreted in Alberta's courts and the interpretation stands for future guidance. It decides that: When any group of men dealing with the supply of any commodity, such as lumber, sugar, beef, coal, etc., meet and agree to fix the price of that article at a certain price, or schedule of prices they are guilty of an illegal and criminal act and may be prosecuted.

"It wouldn't do me any good," she said, "I might be dead before that."

PREDICTS A QUICK RIVAL OF BUSINESS

Optimistic Views Expressed by Senator Jaffray, Vice-President of the Bank—He is a Champion of Increased Railway Facilities.

Vancouver, B.C., August 10. An optimistic and broad-viewed view of the business outlook in the Dominion was expressed today by Senator Robert Jaffray, vice-president of the Imperial Bank of Canada, and vice-president of the Canadian Coal Company. Mr. Jaffray was regarded as an authority. He has been actively identified with the commercial life of Canada for more than half a century. Although now in his seventy-seventh year, he still attends the meetings of over half a dozen corporations in which he is heavily interested. Mr. Jaffray will leave this afternoon for his home in Toronto.

"Perhaps the most dominant impression of my western trip," he said, "is that of the progress in the last three years ago," said Mr. Jaffray, at the Hotel Vancouver today when he was in Vancouver on a tour of inspection. In my view such a limit, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

CRIMINALITY OF COMBINES IS DEFINED IN ALBERTA

An Analysis of the Decision of the Supreme Court on the Combine Case Shows There is No Possibility of Evading the Law which Declares the Fixing of Prices in Restraint of Trade Illegal—The Liberty of a Man's Mind is Just as Much a Subject of the Law of Protection as the Liberty of a Man's Body.

The lumber case has come and gone, the numbers of this association were found guilty of illegal restraint of trade, but the most important part of this famous trial is only now unfolding itself to the public mind. This is that the judgments pronounced by the Chief Justice in the first instance and by the court on appeal in the appeal are unique on this continent at least, in their unequivocal and candid finding of the criminality of combines. These were not just any ordinary restraint of trade, but a conspiracy influencing the case, no doubt, to weaken the argument of judgment.

The law for Alberta has been now clearly defined and the commercial world can no longer go on setting its eyes to the full weight of the statute. Section 498 of the Criminal Code, providing against restraint of trade, has been interpreted in Alberta's courts and the interpretation stands for future guidance. It decides that: When any group of men dealing with the supply of any commodity, such as lumber, sugar, beef, coal, etc., meet and agree to fix the price of that article at a certain price, or schedule of prices they are guilty of an illegal and criminal act and may be prosecuted.

"It wouldn't do me any good," she said, "I might be dead before that."

PREDICTS A QUICK RIVAL OF BUSINESS

Optimistic Views Expressed by Senator Jaffray, Vice-President of the Bank—He is a Champion of Increased Railway Facilities.

Vancouver, B.C., August 10. An optimistic and broad-viewed view of the business outlook in the Dominion was expressed today by Senator Robert Jaffray, vice-president of the Imperial Bank of Canada, and vice-president of the Canadian Coal Company. Mr. Jaffray was regarded as an authority. He has been actively identified with the commercial life of Canada for more than half a century. Although now in his seventy-seventh year, he still attends the meetings of over half a dozen corporations in which he is heavily interested. Mr. Jaffray will leave this afternoon for his home in Toronto.

"Perhaps the most dominant impression of my western trip," he said, "is that of the progress in the last three years ago," said Mr. Jaffray, at the Hotel Vancouver today when he was in Vancouver on a tour of inspection. In my view such a limit, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

CRIMINALITY OF COMBINES IS DEFINED IN ALBERTA

An Analysis of the Decision of the Supreme Court on the Combine Case Shows There is No Possibility of Evading the Law which Declares the Fixing of Prices in Restraint of Trade Illegal—The Liberty of a Man's Mind is Just as Much a Subject of the Law of Protection as the Liberty of a Man's Body.

The lumber case has come and gone, the numbers of this association were found guilty of illegal restraint of trade, but the most important part of this famous trial is only now unfolding itself to the public mind. This is that the judgments pronounced by the Chief Justice in the first instance and by the court on appeal in the appeal are unique on this continent at least, in their unequivocal and candid finding of the criminality of combines. These were not just any ordinary restraint of trade, but a conspiracy influencing the case, no doubt, to weaken the argument of judgment.

The law for Alberta has been now clearly defined and the commercial world can no longer go on setting its eyes to the full weight of the statute. Section 498 of the Criminal Code, providing against restraint of trade, has been interpreted in Alberta's courts and the interpretation stands for future guidance. It decides that: When any group of men dealing with the supply of any commodity, such as lumber, sugar, beef, coal, etc., meet and agree to fix the price of that article at a certain price, or schedule of prices they are guilty of an illegal and criminal act and may be prosecuted.

"It wouldn't do me any good," she said, "I might be dead before that."

PREDICTS A QUICK RIVAL OF BUSINESS

Optimistic Views Expressed by Senator Jaffray, Vice-President of the Bank—He is a Champion of Increased Railway Facilities.

Vancouver, B.C., August 10. An optimistic and broad-viewed view of the business outlook in the Dominion was expressed today by Senator Robert Jaffray, vice-president of the Imperial Bank of Canada, and vice-president of the Canadian Coal Company. Mr. Jaffray was regarded as an authority. He has been actively identified with the commercial life of Canada for more than half a century. Although now in his seventy-seventh year, he still attends the meetings of over half a dozen corporations in which he is heavily interested. Mr. Jaffray will leave this afternoon for his home in Toronto.

"Perhaps the most dominant impression of my western trip," he said, "is that of the progress in the last three years ago," said Mr. Jaffray, at the Hotel Vancouver today when he was in Vancouver on a tour of inspection. In my view such a limit, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

CRIMINALITY OF COMBINES IS DEFINED IN ALBERTA

An Analysis of the Decision of the Supreme Court on the Combine Case Shows There is No Possibility of Evading the Law which Declares the Fixing of Prices in Restraint of Trade Illegal—The Liberty of a Man's Mind is Just as Much a Subject of the Law of Protection as the Liberty of a Man's Body.

The lumber case has come and gone, the numbers of this association were found guilty of illegal restraint of trade, but the most important part of this famous trial is only now unfolding itself to the public mind. This is that the judgments pronounced by the Chief Justice in the first instance and by the court on appeal in the appeal are unique on this continent at least, in their unequivocal and candid finding of the criminality of combines. These were not just any ordinary restraint of trade, but a conspiracy influencing the case, no doubt, to weaken the argument of judgment.

The law for Alberta has been now clearly defined and the commercial world can no longer go on setting its eyes to the full weight of the statute. Section 498 of the Criminal Code, providing against restraint of trade, has been interpreted in Alberta's courts and the interpretation stands for future guidance. It decides that: When any group of men dealing with the supply of any commodity, such as lumber, sugar, beef, coal, etc., meet and agree to fix the price of that article at a certain price, or schedule of prices they are guilty of an illegal and criminal act and may be prosecuted.

"It wouldn't do me any good," she said, "I might be dead before that."

PREDICTS A QUICK RIVAL OF BUSINESS

Optimistic Views Expressed by Senator Jaffray, Vice-President of the Bank—He is a Champion of Increased Railway Facilities.

Vancouver, B.C., August 10. An optimistic and broad-viewed view of the business outlook in the Dominion was expressed today by Senator Robert Jaffray, vice-president of the Imperial Bank of Canada, and vice-president of the Canadian Coal Company. Mr. Jaffray was regarded as an authority. He has been actively identified with the commercial life of Canada for more than half a century. Although now in his seventy-seventh year, he still attends the meetings of over half a dozen corporations in which he is heavily interested. Mr. Jaffray will leave this afternoon for his home in Toronto.

"Perhaps the most dominant impression of my western trip," he said, "is that of the progress in the last three years ago," said Mr. Jaffray, at the Hotel Vancouver today when he was in Vancouver on a tour of inspection. In my view such a limit, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

Mr. Justice Harvey, in the judgment given for the court on appeal in the appeal case, stated: "It appears to me to be a distinct violation of section 498 of the Criminal Code, and in itself sufficient to support the conviction."

There is no getting around this statement, not a later portion of the judgment in which the judge declares invalid any agreement made between two parties, but generally as being in active competition.

TWIN CITIES EXHIBIT AT THE TORONTO FAIR

Stathcona and Edmonton Will Display the Produce and Resources of the West—The Exhibit Will Be Wide-Spread.

J. L. Porte, of the Stathcona Board of Trade, leaves this city today in charge of the Twin City exhibit from Stathcona and Edmonton to be exhibited at the Toronto Industrial Exhibition, the latter part of August. This fair lasts from August 24th to Sept. 14th, and is usually one of the best and most representative exhibitions held in Canada.

As a consequence the importance of the Twin Cities having an exhibit at the fair cannot be too highly estimated. Five years ago Edmonton was represented at the Toronto exhibition, but since that time they have had no exhibit there until this year when the Twin Cities were specially requested to furnish an exhibit. The expense in connection with this exhibit is estimated at \$10,000, the sum voted by the city councils of Edmonton and Stathcona for the Twin City exhibit at the Dominion Fair at Calgary, which amounted to some \$20,000.

This exhibit has been collected with a great deal of care and thought, and is the best exhibit ever prepared in this district. It includes grains, grasses, natural resources and vegetables. Mr. Porte leaves for Toronto with the exhibit and the balance, consisting mainly of vegetables, will be forwarded as the exhibit will be taken east by express