

**SOLVENCY:** Guaranteed under collective liability system, 47; highest degree of obtained under German system, 24; no guarantee of, under employers' liability insurance, 62; not assured under individual liability systems, 45; should be assured, 24; workmen have first charge on employers' insurance dues under English Acts of 1897 and 1906, 45.

**STATE COMPETITION:** Drives employers' liability companies out of business, 41, 50; in general, 49; in Ohio, 26, 48, 49, 50; in Sweden, 41, 49.

**STATE DEPARTMENT:** In Massachusetts, 56, 57; in Norway, 54; in Ohio, 55, 56; in Sweden competes with private companies, 41, 49; in Washington, 49, 53, 76; recommendations to Ontario Commission for, 69; statement of Washington Industrial Commission, 84, 85; tendency towards, 41.

**STATE INSURANCE:** See STATE LIABILITY SYSTEM.

**STATE LIABILITY SYSTEM:** Advantages of, 49, 50; analysis of Norwegian Act, 54, 55; of Ohio Act, 55, 56; of Washington Act, 52, 54; condensed summary of, 11; distinction between State insurance and State liability, 49; general summary of, 48; or collective liability system ultimate solution, 41; possesses all the advantages of a collective liability system, 50; State guarantee, potential, 50; State should bear cost of administration, 32.

**STATE SUPERVISION OF INSURANCE:** Difficulty of, with private companies, 49; Friedensburg proposals for Germany, 41.

**STATISTICS:** Lack of, 49, 59, 60; of workingmen under German Act, 73; re contributory principle, 27; re current cost plan in Germany, 68; re increase in liability insurance rates in British Columbia, England, Quebec, and New York, 37, 38; re proportion of fault, 29, 30; reliability of German, 66; United States and German compared, 73.

**SUMMARY OF BRIEF,** 9.

**SWEDEN:** Individual liability system of, 49; State insurance department gradually eliminating private companies, 41.

**SWITZERLAND:** Contributes to accident insurance, 32.

**TRADES ASSOCIATIONS:** In Germany, 52, 53, 88; recommendations for in Ontario, 71.

**TRADE UNIONS:** Attitude of, towards contribution to compensation fund, 27.

**UNITED STATES:** Proportion of premiums paid to insured in, 60, 61.

**WASTEFULNESS:** Of individual liability system, 45, 46; of employers' liability insurance, 60, 61.

"WERGELI": Theory of compensation, 23.

**WERE ACCIDENTS:** See ACCIDENTS AND PREVENTION.

**WORKMEN:** Attitude of, towards contribution, 27.

**WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION:** See COMPENSATION.

**WASHINGTON ACT:** A State liability Act, 11, 49; analysis of, 53, 54; analysis of draft bill of, 75, 77; bad liability loss under, 78, 79; benefit system of, 40; Chehalis Powder Works accident, 18; circular of Washington State Federation of Labor, 50, 81; cost of administration for first six months, 33; difference between it and German system, 43, 47; employers drop liability insurance, 26; employers grouped according to hazard, 47; Hamilton Illigday re, 79, 80; Industrial Insurance Commission, statement of funds in classes, 84, 85; Industrial Insurance Commission urges creation of first aid fund, 32; letter of Industrial Insurance Commission to Governor of Michigan, 81, 82; letter of Larson Lumber Company re, 82, 83; need for a first aid fund, 35; on a capitalized basis, 12, 64; penalties for negligence under, 26; Preston on, 78; Report of Commission re individual liability scheme, 45; reserves under, 66; said to be a collective liability system, 50, 77, 78; waiting period, as suggested in Washington Draft Act, satisfactory, 31; what would insurance premiums be with benefits of, 38; workmen penalized for removing guards under, 21.

**ZACHER, DR.**, 21, 31, 90.