

971

ond

ada

that

ited

five

ion

The

that

ble

vith

ent

guc

ore

ext

#### mugwump journal

#### By Bob Macmillan

I cannot resist comment at least once this term on the seal hunt which grabs so many headlines at this time of year. I do not claim to know more than any average "citizen" about the actualities of the case but nonetheless having read and researched various areas of this controversial subject, I do have an opinion. Now whether or not it's nice to kill those little seal pups cannot be decided by relying on the looks of the little devils. Has anyone seen a baby calf or lamb lately? They're sort of cute too, BUT . . . do you see anyone screaming save the sheep, or as the Newfoundland protesters put it so well last year when they demonstrated here on campus, what about saving the pigs?

However what offends me most about the whole issue is the people who oppose it so much. They include Greenpeace, the International Fund for Animal Welfare and the Fund for animals. These people have in the past few years done a variety of things to stop the hunt. They include 1) physically trying to stop the hunt, 2) pouring out huge volumes of material depicting our cute little pups and our cruel methods of torturing them by killing, 3) set out to kill Canada's tourist industry by sending out millions of kits urging Americans not to travel in Canada (this done by the U.S. based Fund for Animals).

They have also had a certain degree of success. For instance while they have never actually managed to stop the hunt itself they have managed to make problems for the Newfoundlanders by creating chaos on the ice flows. In addition, I have it from a good source that Great Britain and Europe proper are inundated with anti hunt propoganda and I guess the Europeans really don't think too much of us over here. Finally, more than 75,000 postcards supporting the boycott have been received by Canada's embassy in Washington. Not too nice, eh?

I think what bothers me the most is the fact that it's Americans and Europeans who are waging the biggest war, and last time I looked Canada still belonged to the Canadians.

As a Canadian I highly resent being told how to run my country by a bunch of Americans. I don't feel they are overly capable of running their own country and while there's great talk nowadays about the future of Canada, I think enough people feel the way I do which is that Canada will be around for a long time. It's a trend which Americans began oh so many years ago and one which I think should be arrested. Almost every area of our country is being interfered with by Americans and I for one am sick of it, especially when it comes to the anti-hunt turkeys.

I am sure that if our friends looked into the matter they would discover some facts. For one thing much as I like animals I admit to caring a bit more about people and Newfoundlanders have it hard enough without having one of their major sources of income cut off.

In addition, the hunt, contrary to propoganda is carefully controlled and while the method of killing may seem a bit messy -- one has to keep in mind that these people are professionals and know what they are doing. One Newfoundlander pointed out to me last year that it would be extremely hard to skin a wiggling creature who had only been "stunned" by a blow on the head.

Incidentally, don't the Americans have a seal hunt? Who knows? No one ever protests that.

A few tidbits about the University of New Brunswick presidential race. It seems that the three candidates left are indeed from "outside" and according to a source they hail from the University of Toronto, McGill and Acadia. Only time will tell, I for one am looking forward to meeting these gentlemen.

# sound-off

#### Out-of-Province differential fees next?

My dearest Editrice:

I'd just like to get a thought of differential fees which appeared in the last issue.

Ontario was the first province to introduce this tuition surcharge to foreign students, a few years ago. As a student there at the time, I arguments both for and against

It seems to me that the only fee increase (an extra \$759 was proposed for UNB) would be to hundred thousand dollars for this this university.

differential fee increase is to outsiders an education for the alleviate local taxpayers of the same fee that we ourselves have burden of educating foreigners, to put up, as is the treatment Forestry 4

should charge foreigners around two in concerning the article about \$5,000. If they are to completely pay for their education.

How far would this extra revenue go? The increase that about 85 foreign students would cough up would just about pay Dr. Anderson's leave pay. We was exposed to some of the presently have about 300 foreign students at UNB.

As a Canadian student my stand is against a proposed policy of reason then and now for such a differential fees. Most of our foreign students are from countries that can use the benefits of help generate a few extra Canadian aid. Such aid comes in two forms: material goods and ailing university. This amount of knowledge. The best aid we can money only does represent a give to third-world countries is the splash in the bucket when it comes education their representatives to the total operating expenses of here can take back home to spread around themselves. The If the reason to push this least we here can do is offer

then take note that maybe we Canadians get when they study in most other countries.

Canadians benefit directly from having foreign students in their universities. They bring with them their culture and values, which are of great benefit to our people. Also, universities should strive for the open flow of knowledge between people. Differential fees would interrupt this flow.

An extreme example shouldn't follow is that of the American state universities. A differential fee Roughly double tuition) is not only charged to non-American students, but to out-of-state students as well. An equivalent step in Canada would be for a New Brunswick student to pay double-tuition when studying in another province, whether or not his or her programme of study were even offered in the home

## Foreign student's view

Dear Editor:

I noticed an article in the Brunswickan last week concerning differential fees for foreign students. This would mean that foreign students who enter a program for the first time would have to pay a maximum of 80 percent extra of the usual tuition ees. I am a foreign student, but as am presently enrolled in a program here I shouldn't be affected. However, I just thought 'd throw in my 10 cents worth.

As was pointed out in the article in last week's Bruns, differential es are charged in Alberta, Quebec and Ontario. I think that the people who thought up the idea of differential fees for foreign students were a little short-sighted. If a foreign student would be charged more cash for studying in Canada he/she will go elsewhere or stay at home. If he/she does not study in Canada the Canadians

with whom he/she would have one of the pathways for interacted will have lost an exchanging ideas between counopportunity to communicate with ries. I think that a University someone who has a different way should try to increase the flow of of thinking from themselves. If this knowledge - not block it. idea of differential fees spreads further, the end-result is a block in Robin

#### Sadat up for treason

March 12, '79) to the Egyptian under reported conditions - the Embassy, Ottawa, where I've been entire Middle East will erupt, and known several years.

"Some time ago I wrote you - re signing a peace treaty with Israel that if President Sadat ignored the Sincerely, Palestinians' just demands, he'd Maurice Spire be charged with treason and punished.

I must now give another The following is from a note warning: If President Sadat signs the Russians will rean a golden

P.S. Certain readers may not be Today news came from Iran that surprised to learn, Dear Editor, he has indeed been charged with that I sent a copy of the note to the Russian Embassy, Ottawa. M.S.

### No P.R. move - Worrell

Dear Editor:

some misunderstandings in the paper of February 16, as well as to nake some comments.

First, the intended purpose of the reduction in student fees was not a "P.R. MOVE"; rather a operate the Student Union.

As far as the CHSR issue, concerning its possibility of going off campus, the feasibility study has not been completed, so we cannot logically say things like when CHSR goes off-campus . . . ". If the students want CHSR to go cess will take time, probably one or two years.

extensive amount of expenditure market.

during the summer is an interesting one. Most of the I am writing in order to clarify expenditure was made because we (SRC President, Comptroller, Administrator, Vice President External) knew that the SRC would have a huge surplus by the end of the fiscal year. We felt that it was in everyone's best interests to reflection of the funds needed to purchase needed capital equip- Respectfully submitted, ment in order to reduce the surplus

Sheenagh, you have not seen any SRC sponsored movies or pubs for two reasons. First, we tried both first-rate movies and pubs. They were losing money due to a lack of participation, so we off-campus, the bureaucratic pro- stopped them. Secondly, the SRC did not feel that it was in the best interests of the students to shaft The statement concerning the clubs by invading their movie

I don't feel that your comments about our SRC President, Dave Bartlett, were warranted. He's new at the job, give him time. He's the only one who had the guts to run. If you don't like his style by now (1 month in office), by all means, tell us.

Geoff Worrell

