

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 18

the Administration of Justice in the said Province; with The Reasons of his Dissent from some of the Matters contained in the said Report.

Objections to the proposal of reviving the whole body of the French laws relating to civil matters.

Your Majesty's attorney general of this province approves that part of the foregoing report which gives an account of the constitution of the government of this province during it's subjection to the French king, and believes the said account to be true in most particulars; but he cannot assent to that part of the said report which suggests to your Majesty the expediency of reviving the whole of the French laws in civil matters, for the following reasons.

Inconsistency of such a measure with his Majesty's former plan of conduct with respect to the province of Quebec.

In the first place, he thinks it will be a deviation from that plan of conduct which your Majesty has hitherto thought fit to pursue with respect to this province ever since the conquest of it by your Majesty's arms in 1760, which he conceives to have been, to endeavour to introduce the English laws and the English manner of government into it, and thereby to assimilate and associate this province to your Majesty's other colonies in North America, and not to keep it distinct and separate from them in religion, laws, and manners, to all future generations. He conceives that if this latter system had been that which your Majesty had adopted, your Majesty would have given orders to your general, Sir Jeffrey Amherst, to whom this province was surrendered, to keep up, from the first moment of the conquest, all the courts of justice that were at that time in being in the colony, and even the several officers that composed them, upon the same footing on which they then subsisted. But as your Majesty's said general did immediately suppress all the former jurisdictions, and erect military councils in their stead, and in the articles of capitulation *refused to promise the inhabitants of this province the continuance of the custom of Paris, and the other ancient laws and usages by which they had been governed*, though requested in that behalf by the French general;—and as your Majesty did afterwards, in the fourth article of the definitive treaty of peace in 1763, engage to indulge your new Canadian subjects even in the delicate and important article of the free exercise of their religion, only *so far as the laws of England will permit*;—and as your Majesty, by your royal proclamation of the 7th of November¹ 1763, did encourage your British and other ancient subjects to go and settle in this and the other new-erected governments, and did promise them, as an excitement thereunto, *the immediate enjoyment of the benefit of the laws of England*;—and as your Majesty did afterwards,

¹ October, not November