


It is impossible to duplicate the flavor of
ROWAT'S TEAS
 55c, 75c, 85c, \$1.10 and \$2 a pound.
 Try a Pound Today.
T. A. ROWAT & CO.
 250 Dundas Street. Phone 3051-3052



DIAMONDS
 Rings... \$3.00 to \$1,000.00
 Necklaces... \$50.00 to \$800.00
 Bapins... \$5.00 to \$100.00
C. H. Ward & Co.
 Diamond Merchants and Jewelers.
 386 Richmond Street.

\$1.50 to \$10.00.
 We have
 Trusses to fit
 all needs.
 Our fitters are
 ANDERSON & NELLES.
 Cut shows one of our
 many lines. 268 Dundas St.

Ultra Smart Spring Footwear
 Gathered together from the world's best makers now on exhibition in our windows. To be sure of correct styles buy your footwear at
Cook's Shoe Store

TAYLOR'S Cream of Roses
 The ideal lotion for red, rough skin, is very soothing and cannot promote the growth of hair on the face or hands. 4 ounces for 25c.
Taylor's Drug Store
 New Address. Est. 1865.
 390 Richmond Street.
 Formerly McLaughlin's. Phone 594.
 Three Graduate Druggists. tf-w

SCRANTON COAL
 ALL SIZES.
HEAMAN & SON
 PHONE 312. ywt

COAL
 Why should you fill your cellar with coal that you are not certain will burn, or if it does burn, will not give heat or satisfaction, when you can get **PREMIUM** coal at a slight advance in price over the ordinary anthracites? This coal costs more per ton, but what of that, when it gives you more heat per pound; in other words more heat per dollar? We have estimated that this coal will cut your fuel bill 18 per cent.
ANDERSON COAL CO.
 Phone 1391.
Bristol Essery
 COAL AND WOOD.
 439 Midland Street. Phone 1089.
 Chestnut Coal... \$16.00 per ton
 Furnace Coal (Egg size)... \$18.00 per ton
 Four ton lots.
 Coke (Nut and Pea size)... \$12.00 per ton
 Anthracite Briquettes... \$15.00 per ton
 Coal prices will not be lower than this year. Order early as our stock at these prices is limited. ywt

Double Mileage
 Puncture-Proof Service.
Art Wilkes
 London Tire Repair Dept.
 354 Wellington. Opp. McClary's. ywt

For Your Health's Sake EAT CREAM OF BARLEY
 That delicious breakfast food, cooked in three minutes, a food and a medicine.
 Manufactured by
John Mackay Co., Ltd.
 BOWMANVILLE, ONT.
PERCOLATED COFFEE
 has a flavor and aroma all its own. Our Electric Percolators are guaranteed as to their quality and durability. Will add beauty to your dining table.
Benson-Wilcox Electric Co.
 264 Dundas Street. Phone 3611. ywt

London Loan Company
 Deposits and Debentures
Consolidated Trusts Corp'n
 For Executors
 Cor. Dundas and Clarence.
 ywt

SPECIAL FUR SALE
 All Furs reduced to cost and some garments below cost to clear for cash.
BELTZ & CO.
 ywt

A Suggestion For Better Health EAT Parnell's Bread
 Every Loaf Labeled.
Mother Made Good Bread
 I thought it couldn't be beat until I myself served me with
JOHNSTON BROS' XXX BREAD
 Its delicious flavor is the same day in and day out. Wholesome. Delicious? Yes?
 P.S.—She gets it from the corner grocer. Better try it yourself.
JOHNSTON BROS. PHONE 444.
DISTRICT ORANGEMEN WELL REPRESENTED ON THE PRECEPTORY
 New Officers Include Many Brethren From Western Ontario.
 NIAGARA FALLS, Ont., March 9.—Following are the officers of the Grand Black Preceptory of Ontario West for 1921:
 Grand Master—Sir Kt. Thomas R. White, Cookstown, re-elected.
 Deputy Grand Master—Sir Kt. Dr. C. E. Miller, North Bay.
 Associate Deputy Grand Master—Sir Kt. W. H. Truck, Toronto.
 Grand Chaplain—Rev. W. A. Morrison, Guelph.
 Deputy Grand Chaplains—Sir Kt. Rev. R. A. Spencer, Haliburton; Rev. William Lowe, London; Rev. T. Armstrong, Sebringville; Rev. H. P. Westgate, Sandwich; Rev. H. A. Fish, Toronto; Rev. D. D. Rev. Rev. Armstrong, M.C., Woodstock; Rev. Major H. C. Dixon, Toronto; Rev. H. B. Ashby, London; Rev. Mr. Dunbar, Lis-towel.
 Honorary Deputy Grand Chaplain—Sir Kt. Rev. John Coburn, Midland.
 Grand Registrar—Sir Kt. Edward A. Pennell, Toronto.
 Deputy Grand Registrar—Sir Kt. Wm. Clark, Hamilton.
 Grand Treasurer—Sir Kt. W. Cook, J. P. Meaford.
 Deputy Grand Treasurer—Sir Kt. W. B. Walker, London.
 Grand Lecturer—Sir Kt. Thomas H. Bullock, Orangethorpe.
 Deputy Grand Lecturer—Sir Kt. DeWen Head, Walkerton.
 Grand Censor—Sir Kt. G. H. Hunt, Grand Valley; J. B. Armstrong, Lucan.
 Grand Standard Bearer—A. I. Crawford, Sarnia; C. F. Charley, Sud-bury.
 Pursuivants—Sir Kt. A. W. Johnston, Aylmer West; Sir Kt. E. D. Mole, Sea-ward.
 Grand Committee—A. Gamble, Walkerville; E. Langford, London; P. Fowler, Hamilton; J. V. Switzer, St. Marys; Charles Herman, Gravenhurst. Auditors—Sir Kt. Robert Martin, Toronto; John Bailey, Walkerville.

SITE IS PURCHASED FOR WAR MEMORIAL
 ST. MARYS, March 9.—The town council held its regular meeting in the town hall, when the newly-elected councillor, D. McCulloch, was in his place for the first time. Very little important business was dealt with except a resolution, moved by Councillor Constable, seconded by Councillor Munro, that the council purchase lot No. 15 on the north side of Queen street, adjoining the town hall, and known as the Constable property, for the town of St. Marys, and that the said lot is to be used absolutely for town purposes, and that a warrant be issued to Mr. Chesterton for \$2,000.
 It is likely the property will be used as a memorial park and have erected thereon the monument to the memory of those who fell in the great war. Mrs. H. Douie delighted a large and enthusiastic gathering of young people last evening at the regular B. Y. P. U. meeting in the Baptist Church by a number of readings from Tennyson, which were delivered in a splendid manner.
 Don't throw your old carpets away. They make new Velvetex Rugs. Send for Velvetex pamphlet. Canada Rug Co., London. 628u
WE SPECIALIZE
 On Fine Sausages. Also Cooked and Smoked Meats.
The Pure Meat Products Co.
 558 Richmond Street. Phone 1416.
 Opposite Albert Street. ywt

Travelling Public Will Be Hit By New Tax Effective March 15
 Passengers Buying Tickets To United States Points Required To Cover the Difference Between Canadian and American Money—Order Is Passed by Railway Commissioners.
 OTTAWA, March 9.—(By Canadian Press)—A judgment issued today by the board of railway commissioners grants the application of the Railway Association of Canada for permission to make surcharges on passenger traffic between Canada and the United States. This means that Canadians purchasing passenger tickets to United States points will be required to pay, not only the usual price of the ticket, but an additional amount to cover the difference in value between Canadian and United States money. The surcharges provided for depend in amount on the distance from the border of the place where the ticket is purchased. The order providing for the system of surcharges will go into effect on March 15.
 Equalizes Amounts.
 A brief summary of the judgment, which was written by Hon. P. B. Carvell, chief commissioner, and con-curred in by Commissioner J. W. McLean and Nantel, is as follows:
 "The order issued today providing for a system of surcharges on international passenger traffic between Canada and the United States is intended to place the Canadian roads on a par with the American roads as to the credit for the amount which they will receive from the American roads for traffic in Canadian funds and from their own passengers.
 "The announcement will not in any way interfere with the right which any passenger has to purchase his ticket to the American border in Canadian funds and from their own passengers."
Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS

ADVERTISER PUZZLE CONTEST CLOSING ON MARCH 19TH
 Time For All To Compete For Prizes Up to \$700.
 INDIVIDUAL EFFORT WINS
 Don't forget that you have until the 19th to submit a solution of the puzzle and get in line for one of the big cash prizes, the highest of which has a value up to \$700. After the 19th it will be too late. So "do it now."
 Contestants sending in solutions from now on should exercise care in filling out the blanks accompanying their puzzle charts. Write names and addresses plainly and complete all addresses by giving the city, town or postoffice, as well as the street and number. Incomplete addresses, as well as names and addresses written illegibly, often times lead to mistakes and confusion.
 The attention of contestants is also called to the question in the blank regarding the puzzle "now." It has been interpreted by a few as asking if they were to receive the prize. The puzzle started for the first time. If you have not been receiving the puzzle previously, but "No" after the question, and if you are an old subscriber answer "Yes" when you submit your solution. This will insure new subscribers receiving their paper more promptly.
 It has been stated several times, and repeated again, that the time a solution is received has no bearing upon its winning a prize. The prize will be awarded solely upon the merits of the solutions received, irrespective of when they were received. Those submitted this week right up to the close of contest will have exactly the same standing as those already registered.
 It should also be remembered that the amount required for subscription has no relation to a solution winning a prize. The larger your remittance, however, the greater will be the amount you will receive if you win one of the first five prizes. For instance, if you should be entitled to the first prize, by reason of your solution of the puzzle being the best received, and paid \$2.75 when you submitted the solution, you would receive \$27.50; whereas, if you had paid two years' subscription for the puzzle, you would receive \$55.00. Those contestants who have sent less than \$10.00 have the privilege of increasing the amount, if they so wish, at any time up to the 19th.
 In the event any wish to do this, they must send in another blank and chart the same as in the first instance. Those desiring to send any different solution than that already sent in may submit other solutions under the same conditions as the first.
 In fact, a contestant may send in as many different solutions as he wishes, as long as he pays for as the contestant may direct. Those desiring additional information may obtain the same by calling upon the Manager, London Advertiser, 110 Dundas street.

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS

ADVERTISER PUZZLE CONTEST CLOSING ON MARCH 19TH
 Time For All To Compete For Prizes Up to \$700.
 INDIVIDUAL EFFORT WINS
 Don't forget that you have until the 19th to submit a solution of the puzzle and get in line for one of the big cash prizes, the highest of which has a value up to \$700. After the 19th it will be too late. So "do it now."
 Contestants sending in solutions from now on should exercise care in filling out the blanks accompanying their puzzle charts. Write names and addresses plainly and complete all addresses by giving the city, town or postoffice, as well as the street and number. Incomplete addresses, as well as names and addresses written illegibly, often times lead to mistakes and confusion.
 The attention of contestants is also called to the question in the blank regarding the puzzle "now." It has been interpreted by a few as asking if they were to receive the prize. The puzzle started for the first time. If you have not been receiving the puzzle previously, but "No" after the question, and if you are an old subscriber answer "Yes" when you submit your solution. This will insure new subscribers receiving their paper more promptly.
 It has been stated several times, and repeated again, that the time a solution is received has no bearing upon its winning a prize. The prize will be awarded solely upon the merits of the solutions received, irrespective of when they were received. Those submitted this week right up to the close of contest will have exactly the same standing as those already registered.
 It should also be remembered that the amount required for subscription has no relation to a solution winning a prize. The larger your remittance, however, the greater will be the amount you will receive if you win one of the first five prizes. For instance, if you should be entitled to the first prize, by reason of your solution of the puzzle being the best received, and paid \$2.75 when you submitted the solution, you would receive \$27.50; whereas, if you had paid two years' subscription for the puzzle, you would receive \$55.00. Those contestants who have sent less than \$10.00 have the privilege of increasing the amount, if they so wish, at any time up to the 19th.
 In the event any wish to do this, they must send in another blank and chart the same as in the first instance. Those desiring to send any different solution than that already sent in may submit other solutions under the same conditions as the first.
 In fact, a contestant may send in as many different solutions as he wishes, as long as he pays for as the contestant may direct. Those desiring additional information may obtain the same by calling upon the Manager, London Advertiser, 110 Dundas street.

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

Conservatives Bitterly Oppose Proportional Representation
 Leader Fears It Would Undermine the Principles of Responsible Government—London Member Votes in Its Favor—Bill Is Given Its Second Reading.
 [Special To The Advertiser.]
 TORONTO, March 9.—Proportional representation, whether applied to municipal, provincial or federal elections, met its sternest opposition from the Conservative ranks in the Ontario Legislature today. Although the bill under discussion, introduced by T. Magrader, Conservative, Temiskaming, only provided for the application of the single transferable vote system to municipal elections, where the electors had previously sanctioned the change, the House went on to discuss the application of the system generally. Eventually the bill got a second reading.
 Would Be Undermined.
 Hon. G. H. Ferguson, Conservative leader, declared that it would be a good deal of argument to convince him that proportional representation was a better method of election than the old established system. Under it the principles of responsible government would be undermined, and the whole Dominion come under a regime of group governments.
 Thomas Crawford vigorously opposed it and demanded that the time be wasted on the measure. Dr. H. A. Stevenson, Labor member for London, in supporting the measure, went into the merits of a commission form of government.
 Double Amount.
 During the day the House received

THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS THOMAS

ADVERTISER PUZZLE CONTEST CLOSING ON MARCH 19TH
 Time For All To Compete For Prizes Up to \$700.
 INDIVIDUAL EFFORT WINS
 Don't forget that you have until the 19th to submit a solution of the puzzle and get in line for one of the big cash prizes, the highest of which has a value up to \$700. After the 19th it will be too late. So "do it now."
 Contestants sending in solutions from now on should exercise care in filling out the blanks accompanying their puzzle charts. Write names and addresses plainly and complete all addresses by giving the city, town or postoffice, as well as the street and number. Incomplete addresses, as well as names and addresses written illegibly, often times lead to mistakes and confusion.
 The attention of contestants is also called to the question in the blank regarding the puzzle "now." It has been interpreted by a few as asking if they were to receive the prize. The puzzle started for the first time. If you have not been receiving the puzzle previously, but "No" after the question, and if you are an old subscriber answer "Yes" when you submit your solution. This will insure new subscribers receiving their paper more promptly.
 It has been stated several times, and repeated again, that the time a solution is received has no bearing upon its winning a prize. The prize will be awarded solely upon the merits of the solutions received, irrespective of when they were received. Those submitted this week right up to the close of contest will have exactly the same standing as those already registered.
 It should also be remembered that the amount required for subscription has no relation to a solution winning a prize. The larger your remittance, however, the greater will be the amount