

Ten candidates presented themselves, and having thoroughly examined their papers we find that the eight below named are entitled to the diploma of the College having furnished answers of sufficient value to entitle them to marks as follows:

	Mater. Medic.	Phar- macy.	Pre- scri's	Chem- istry.	Bot- any.	Total.
H. Rosser, London.....	19	17 $\frac{3}{4}$	17 $\frac{1}{2}$	19 $\frac{1}{4}$	17 $\frac{1}{2}$	91
C. E. Scarff, Woodstock	18	18 $\frac{3}{4}$	16 $\frac{3}{4}$	19 $\frac{1}{2}$	18	91
W. R. House, Toronto.	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	18 $\frac{3}{4}$	16 $\frac{1}{2}$	15 $\frac{3}{4}$	16 $\frac{1}{2}$	82
A. Jeffery, " "	16 $\frac{1}{4}$	16 $\frac{1}{2}$	17 $\frac{1}{2}$	15	16 $\frac{3}{4}$	82
K. Miller, " "	14 $\frac{3}{4}$	17 $\frac{3}{4}$	16 $\frac{3}{4}$	17	11	77 $\frac{1}{4}$
Fred. Clarke, " "	16 $\frac{1}{4}$	18 $\frac{1}{4}$	17 $\frac{1}{2}$	13 $\frac{1}{4}$	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	75 $\frac{3}{4}$
S. Richardson, Galt.....	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	14 $\frac{1}{4}$	12 $\frac{1}{4}$	12 $\frac{3}{4}$	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	68 $\frac{1}{4}$
E. Winkler, Mt. Forest.	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	11 $\frac{3}{4}$	11 $\frac{3}{4}$	14 $\frac{3}{4}$	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	65 $\frac{1}{4}$

We take pleasure in calling attention to the high degree of merit which we have found some of the papers to possess, viz., those of C. E. Scarff's on Chemistry, Pharmacy, and Botany; H. Rosser on Chemistry, Materia Medica, and Pharmacy; House on Pharmacy; Jeffery on Prescriptions; Miller and Clarke on Pharmacy.

In view of the excellence of the paper referred to, it has occurred to us that it might be advisable for the Council to offer some reward to the gainer of the highest number of marks in each examination, as a means of stimulating candidates to thorough preparation; we would, however, suggest that no prize be given for a less number than 75 marks.

W. SAUNDERS, Chairman.

Mr. Elliot moved,

Mr. Hodgetts seconded,

That the report of the examiners, just read by Mr. Saunders, be received and adopted, and that this Council orders that the necessary certificates be granted to the gentlemen therein named, as having passed the necessary examination.

Mr. Miller said that he thought the specimens of colchicum root and cascarilla bark presented to the candidates in materia medica were hardly fair commercial samples, in which case it was hardly to be expected that candidatee would recognize them.

Professor Shuttleworth objected to several of the questions in chemistry.

Mr. Saunders defended the examination papers, and replied to remarks made.

Mr. Stork said that the Council had sufficient confidence in the gentlemen appointed as examiners to adopt their report without discussing the questions given.