

Proposal Rejected

elgian House Votes Down the Attempt to Secure Universal Suffrage.

great Excitement Following and Socialists Hope King Will Intervene.

troops Fire on Crowd Making a Demonstration at Louvain.

Brussels, April 18.—The Chamber of representatives today by 84 to 64 votes rejected the proposal to revise the Belgian constitution so as to provide for universal suffrage.

The debate in the chamber followed yesterday's lines.

M. Smeets (Socialist) accused the government of being anxious to let loose 1000 soldiers against the people.

He added, they would be unable to terminate Socialism and enough Socialists would remain to continue the struggle.

As the government was not willing to consent to do his bidding, nothing was left but for the Socialists to address His Majesty directly and plead for his intervention.

He said the word, peace would be re-established. The vote was then taken.

Great excitement followed the vote in the chamber. The Socialists held a meeting in the Maison du Peuple, at which M. Van der Velde, the leader of the Socialist movement in Belgium, urged his hearers to be calm.

Another riot is reported to have occurred at Brussels in Flanders.

The rioting broke out at about 10 p.m. and lasted for some time.

A band of some 200 men, armed with revolvers and pistols, broke through the police lines and entered the city.

The rioters were dispersed by the police and a second volley in front of the Catholic club.

It is reported that 12 policemen were wounded and several citizens were injured.

The rioters were dispersed by the police and a second volley in front of the Catholic club.

It is reported that 12 policemen were wounded and several citizens were injured.

The rioters were dispersed by the police and a second volley in front of the Catholic club.

It is reported that 12 policemen were wounded and several citizens were injured.

The rioters were dispersed by the police and a second volley in front of the Catholic club.

It is reported that 12 policemen were wounded and several citizens were injured.

The rioters were dispersed by the police and a second volley in front of the Catholic club.

It is reported that 12 policemen were wounded and several citizens were injured.

The rioters were dispersed by the police and a second volley in front of the Catholic club.

It is reported that 12 policemen were wounded and several citizens were injured.

The rioters were dispersed by the police and a second volley in front of the Catholic club.

It is reported that 12 policemen were wounded and several citizens were injured.

The rioters were dispersed by the police and a second volley in front of the Catholic club.

It is reported that 12 policemen were wounded and several citizens were injured.

Provincial Legislature

Estimates Brought Down—The House Settles Steadily to Business.

Several Bills Given Second Reading and Others Pass Committee Stage.

Legislative Assembly, April 18, 1902.

Mr. Speaker took the chair at 2:10 p.m. Dr. Campbell offered prayer.

Hon. Mr. Prentice presented a message from His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor as follows: Lieutenant-Governor's Message.

Estimates of sums required for the service of the province for the financial year ending 30th June, 1902.

Supplementary estimates of expenditure for the financial year ending 30th June, 1902.

Schedule A, unprovided items of expenditure for the financial year ending June 1901.

It was ordered that the said message and estimates be referred to the committee on supply on Monday.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented a correspondence between the Provincial and Dominion governments, since 1st March, 1901, on the subject of the administration of minerals under Indian reserves.

that the government were preparing a bill dealing with the same subject.

Mr. Tatlow insisted on the motion. Hon. Col. Prior declared the bill out of order, as interfering with the public revenue.

Mr. McPhillips supported the second reading, arguing that there was nothing in the bill to take out of order on the ground raised by the Hon. the Minister of Mines.

Mr. McPhillips protested against the action of the government. It was an unfair attack on the Hon. the Minister of Mines.

Mr. Speaker ruled the bill out of order, as interfering with the public revenue.

Mr. McPhillips said it was most unfair and most unjust to the province of B.C.

THE WILLS ACT. Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

tributed by the district of West Kootenay for the year ending 30th June, 1901.

Mr. Tatlow insisted on the motion. Hon. Col. Prior declared the bill out of order, as interfering with the public revenue.

Mr. McPhillips supported the second reading, arguing that there was nothing in the bill to take out of order on the ground raised by the Hon. the Minister of Mines.

Mr. McPhillips protested against the action of the government. It was an unfair attack on the Hon. the Minister of Mines.

Mr. Speaker ruled the bill out of order, as interfering with the public revenue.

Mr. McPhillips said it was most unfair and most unjust to the province of B.C.

THE WILLS ACT. Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

Hon. Mr. Eberts said the bill was unique. When he first saw it, it struck him that the mover was pursuing a policy which was not for her second husband's benefit.

Mr. Curtis moved the second reading of a bill to amend the Wills Act, which provides that no condition respecting the subsequent marriage of a widow or widower shall be recognized by law.

The Select Committee

Continuation of Enquiry into Charges Against Atlin Gold Commissioner.

Mr. Sawers Has One More Witness to Call For His Side.

The Select committee, consisting of Messrs. Rogers, McPhillips, Gordon, and Atlin, met this morning to inquire into the charges made by Mr. C. W. Sawers against Mr. J. D. Graham, gold commissioner, in regard to two buildings purchased by the commissioner for the isolation hospital, held in Victoria.

Mr. Sawers had not completed the examination of the building cost sheet, which was adjourned, there being still one more witness to be called. Further evidence in regard to the value of buildings in Atlin, and the alleged relations between Messrs. Graham and Mr. Bickle, was taken.

Mr. Sawers then made a statement to the effect that it was well known in Atlin that Messrs. Graham and Bickle were always together, and that they consulted each other about official and called business.

Mr. W. Wilkie corroborated this statement. He knew nothing of his own knowledge, but the witness called Mr. J. M. Wilkie, recalled, said that he was in Atlin in 1886. He recalled that Mr. Bickle was a partner in the building of the isolation hospital, including those purchased by Mr. C. W. Spurling.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

Mr. Spurling stated that he was in Atlin in the summer of '90. He was a carpenter in Atlin, but had done some work at Atlin. He had heard the name of Mr. Bickle, but did not know his name.

WILL BE BUILT HERE.

Contract Reported to Have Been Secured by the Buleens.

It is reported that the contract for the building of the new C. P. N. steamer for service on the route to the northern British Columbia ports has been awarded to the Esquimalt Marine railway company of this city.

The steamer City of Puelba, which was damaged by the fire at Esquimalt, will be replaced by a new vessel to be a single screw steamer, designed to meet the requirements of the company, and will be about the size of the Charmer, although with more modern fittings.

The contract for the building of the machinery has not yet been awarded.

Steamer Princess May sailed again for Skagway last night, after a brief stay in port. She will carry a larger passenger list for the North than she has ever brought down, for on her down trip she will carry the passengers of the Esquimalt Marine railway, including Capt. Brown and several other river steamer men.

Twenty passengers are booked at Vancouver, from which port she sails to Esquimalt on the 21st inst. She will be replaced by a new vessel to be a single screw steamer, designed to meet the requirements of the company, and will be about the size of the Charmer, although with more modern fittings.

The contract for the building of the machinery has not yet been awarded.

Steamer Princess May sailed again for Skagway last night, after a brief stay in port. She will carry a larger passenger list for the North than she has ever brought down, for on her down trip she will carry the passengers of the Esquimalt Marine railway, including Capt. Brown and several other river steamer men.

Twenty passengers are booked at Vancouver, from which port she sails to Esquimalt on the 21st inst. She will be replaced by a new vessel to be a single screw steamer, designed to meet the requirements of the company, and will be about the size of the Charmer, although with more modern fittings.

The contract for the building of the machinery has not yet been awarded.

Steamer Princess May sailed again for Skagway last night, after a brief stay in port. She will carry a larger passenger list for the North than she has ever brought down, for on her down trip she will carry the passengers of the Esquimalt Marine railway, including Capt. Brown and several other river steamer men.

Twenty passengers are booked at Vancouver, from which port she sails to Esquimalt on the 21st inst. She will be replaced by a new vessel to be a single screw steamer, designed to meet the requirements of the company, and will be about the size of the Charmer, although with more modern fittings.

The contract for the building of the machinery has not yet been awarded.

Steamer Princess May sailed again for Skagway last night, after a brief stay in port. She will carry a larger passenger list for the North than she has ever brought down, for on her down trip she will carry the passengers of the Esquimalt Marine railway, including Capt. Brown and several other river steamer men.

Twenty passengers are booked at Vancouver, from which port she sails to Esquimalt on the 21st inst. She will be replaced by a new vessel to be a single screw steamer, designed to meet the requirements of the company, and will be about the size of the Charmer, although with more modern fittings.

The contract for the building of the machinery has not yet been awarded.

Steamer Princess May sailed again for Skagway last night, after a brief stay in port. She will carry a larger passenger list for the North than she has ever brought down, for on her down trip she will carry the passengers of the Esquimalt Marine railway, including Capt. Brown and several other river steamer men.

Twenty passengers are booked at Vancouver, from which port she sails to Esquimalt on the 21st inst. She will be replaced by a new vessel to be a single screw steamer, designed to meet the requirements of the company, and will be about the size of the Charmer, although with more modern fittings.

The contract for the building of the machinery has not yet been awarded.

Steamer Princess May sailed again for Skagway last night, after a brief stay in port. She will carry a larger passenger list for the North than she has ever brought down, for on her down trip she will carry the passengers of the Esquimalt Marine railway, including Capt. Brown and several other river steamer men.

Twenty passengers are booked at Vancouver, from which port she sails to Esquimalt on the 21st inst. She will be replaced by a new vessel to be a single screw steamer, designed to meet the requirements of the company, and will be about the size of the Charmer, although with more modern fittings.

The contract for the building of the machinery has not yet been awarded.

</