

-5-

another thing to see that people who have to act on such information as they can get are supplied with the truth as far as this may be possible; and if, as you say, there is a good deal of opposition in Congress to the publication of the Walsh report and evidence, my suggestion in its practical bearing would amount simply to this, that someone should see that those who are active in this opposition should be made fully cognizant of the fact that the evidence before the Commission was not testimony sworn to; and that some of the reports are undeserving of further circulation because in the first instance, they are not based in any way upon testimony, and in the second place do not even carry with them the approval of the Commission itself.

By way of final bombardment, I have, as requested, destroyed your letter, and would be glad if, after showing the contents of this one to Mr. Rockefeller, Jr., you would also kindly see that it is destroyed.

Yours sincerely,

W. L. MACKENZIE KING

P.S. - Replying to the postscript of your letter of the 6th instant, I receive the "Survey" and have been following with interest Fitch's articles on the Commission. The one of December 18th to which you specially refer, if read by members of Congress will probably not help toward the circulation at further public expense, of the reports of the Commission and evidence, and, insofar as it may be of service in this way, may be regarded as l'amende honorable on Mr. Fitch's part for some of his references toward parties whom the Commission sought to attack.

W. L. Mackenzie King Papers

Volume 32