No formula is given for use with Table XIX.′, but it is stated that the table may be employed "for reducing barometrical observations to the level of the sea, and also to any other level by a similar process." An example is, however, given, applying tables in French measure, corresponding to XIX.′, the method of which example may be represented by the formula

$$R = \frac{2Z}{{}_{\beta}N_{t} + {}_{B}N_{T}} \cdot \frac{1}{10}, \qquad \text{(ii.)}$$

where ${}_{\beta}N_{t}$ is the number in the table corresponding to the barometric reading* and temperature at the upper station, and ${}_{B}N_{T}$ that corresponding to those at the lower station; an approximate reduced barometric reading and temperature being employed in taking out the latter quantity.

Formula (i.) may also be employed with Table XIX.′, b being any height and N the number in the table corresponding to b. No advantage is, however, gained, by using this table instead of Table XVI. with formula (i.), unless b be taken nearly equal to β, so that we may have, nearly

$$R = \frac{Z}{10N}.$$

Laplace's formula for computing differences of elevation from barometrical observations, from which each of the above is deduced, may be written

$$Z = A_{t} \log \frac{B}{\beta}, \qquad \text{(iii.)}$$

where A_{t} is a constant, depending on the mean between the temperatures at the upper and lower stations. Strictly, it also depends upon the latitude of the station, and on the height above the sea; but the variations due to these may be neglected, unless the height is very considerable.

Now the number ${}_{b}N_{t}$, in the above mentioned tables, for barometer reading b, and temperature t, is the difference of elevation

* Throughout this paper, when a barometric reading is spoken of, the reading reduced to temp. 32° Fahr. is to be understood.