S.O. 30

countries of which the Cruise missile is but one part. And is in duty bound your petitioners will ever pray.

MOTION TO ADJOURN UNDER S.O. 30

[English]

NUCLEAR ARMAMENTS

TESTING OF CRUISE MISSILE ON CANADIAN SOIL

Ms. Pauline Jewett (New Westminster-Coquitlam): Mr. Speaker, I ask leave, seconded by the Hon. Member for Hamilton Mountain (Mr. Deans), that the house do now adjourn. I am moving the adjournment of the House under Standing Order 30 for the purpose of discussing a specific and important matter requiring urgent consideration, namely, the testing tomorrow of the U.S. air-launched Cruise missile over Canadian soil.

As I have indicated in my notice to you, Mr. Speaker, this is an urgent matter because Members of the House learned only on Friday last from a source in Washington, D.C., that the test will take place tomorrow. Canadians have again been kept in the dark.

It is an urgent matter too because an application has now gone before the Supreme Court of Canada to suspend any Cruise missile test until the constitutionality of those tests can be considered. A Supreme Court ruling on the suspension of the tests is pending.

Finally, it is urgent that the Prime Minister's recent peace efforts not be undermined or contradicted and that the widespread desire of Canadians to halt Cruise missile testing be fully debated in the House.

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member gave the Chair the required notice of her intention to seek leave to move a motion under Standing Order 30. As Hon. Members know, the Chair, in considering a request for an emergency debate, must "have regard to the probability of the matter being brought before the House within reasonable time by other means".

This matter has regularly been raised during Question Period, even today, and the Government's intention to go ahead with the testing of the Cruise missile has been no secret. No doubt, the intention of the mover of the motion is to try to prevent the test which is to take place tomorrow. However, this issue hardly qualifies as a sudden emergency and there have been and will be various opportunities to debate it in a broader context without setting aside the normal business of the House. Three allotted days remain during the present period. The Estimates have been tabled and have been referred to various committees just this afternoon, and of course Question Period takes place every day.

I also refer the Hon. Member to Citation 288 of Beauchesne's Fifth Edition which clearly states that the subjects excluded by the established rules of debate relating to Standing Order 30 include matters under adjudication by a court of law. The Hon. Member knows, as she states in her notice, that the Supreme Court of Canada has yet to render a decision on an application to suspend the proposed test.

I must therefore rule that the Hon. Member's request does not satisfy the criteria of the Standing Order.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 57, 355, 434, 504, 510, 520, 521, 528, 587 and 595.

[Text]

AGRICULTURE CANADA—PERSONS ENGAGED IN PUBLIC RELATIONS

Question No. 57-Mr. Howie:

How many persons engaged in public relations, media relations or publicity are employed by the Department of Agriculture?

Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture): Thirty-six.

ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

Question No. 355-Mr. St. Germain:

Since January 1, 1981, for each province, what expenditures were made under the Enterprise Development Program by grants (a) to develop proposals for projects eligible for assistance (b) to identify new products (c) for product development (d) for product design (e) to study productivity improvement projects (f) to encourage restructuring of firms in manufacturing industries (g) to facilitate mergers and acquisition of manufacturing and processing firms?

Hon. Ed Lumley (Minister of Regional Industrial Expansion): Expenditures from January 1, 1981 to December 31, 1983, by province, under the Enterprise Development Program (EDP) are detailed below.

Type of Assistance	Yukon/N.W.T.	B.C.	Alta.	Sask.	Man.	Ont.	Que.	N.B.	N.S.	P.E.I.	Nfid.
(a) Develop proposals	_	69,812	7,350	23,866	94,311	84,208	_	_	36,189		16,493
(b) Identify new products		_	-	-	2,506		34,844			_	
(c) Product development		6,317,850	3,716,202	1,462,984	4,695,751	38,638,997	19,503,180	345,669	853,490	574,008	511,660
(d) Product design	=1.14	23,721	-	238,430	177,638	450,235	3,095,413	15,632	_	15,525	
(e) Productivity improvement		101,510	210,423	_	54,387	461,508	6,364,139	38,392	48,105	35.024	15,750
(f) Restructuring		_	-	_	61,520	24,400	327,147	_			
(g) Mergers and acquisitions	—	—		_	_						