Canada should have the power to instruct the Bank of Canada, using practically the same

words used by Mr. McKenna.

Money policy is essentially a government problem to-day and the government should be free to determine such policy and to see that it is carried out. If governments are to be held responsible for economic conditions—and we know that they are held responsible; the people will turn out any government that allows these unsatisfactory economic conditions to continue—then surely the government or a government institution should have control of credit, which really determines economic conditions.

The Prime Minister, speaking on this question of a central bank, with reference to the ownership of the various central banks in the world, said in effect that there were thirty-six central banks in the world, six of which were owned by the nations in which they functioned, while the others were not. I am glad, Mr. Speaker, that at least six of them are nationally owned, but that does not

tell the whole story; and while I cannot place on record a complete statement showing the ownership and control of these banks. I have here a statement which will at least illustrate the situation. There are two central banks in which members of the government are directors. These might be called state banks, and they exist in Russia and in Finland. There are central banks where the state, on paper, has no control—the Bank of England, the Bank of Denmark and the Bank of Germany. But in these three cases there is a long tradition against private banking control. However, these banks may be called privately owned banks. In all other central banks there is state control of a sort, which is exercised through some appointments being made by the government. The government appoints the governor or directing head and generally some directors, even a majority. This statement I have here shows, in the various countries, those appointed by the government and those elected by the share-

Central Banks—Directors

	Appointed by Government	Elected by Sharehold	lers
Australia All.		None. Others.	
Austria	an.		
Belgium	or and deputy.	Others.	
Bulgaria	or and deputy.	Others.	
Chile Part.		Part.	
Colombia		Part.	
CzechoslovakiaGoverno	or.	Part.	
Denmark None.		All.	
England		All.	
Finland All.		None.	
France	ing body.	General Assembly	
Cormony		All.	
Lange All but	part nominated by shareholder.		
NetherlandsPreside	nt and secretary	Others.	
Poland	nt and vice-president	Others.	
Portugal	or and two vice-governors	Others.	
Description A true	movernment hank	None.	
Russia A true	uding governor and deputy governor).	Others.	
South Africa (Inch	and two assistant governors	Others.	
Spain	or and two assistant governors.	None.	
SwedenAll.	han Edgard Pagarya Board	None.	
U.S.A All mer	nders rederal Reserve Board.	Others.	
YugoslaviaGoverno	or and two deputy governors.	Others.	

A final point: It must be remembered that in most cases where the governor, chairman, president or whatever he may be called is appointed by the government, he is given very considerable power, much more than that of an ordinary chairman.

In passing, I think it is worth while pointing out that in the two countries which have made most headway in the last three years, Sweden and Australia, the central banks are publicly owned. Sweden has achieved a stable price level, while Australia has come very close to it. The improvement in the price level in Australia compares rather [Mr. Coote.]

remarkably with the situation in Canada. In a recent article Professor Copeland of Melbourne, Australia, shows that their export trade expanded by more than 25 per cent in 1931-32 and 30 per cent in 1932-33, as compared with the three pre-crisis years. He states further that the banking policy of Australia was sound in the crisis mainly because it was less orthodox than that which so-called sound financiers would readily pursue. He says that it is a mistake to concentrate on the external situation and that Australia made her main mistake in not depreciating sooner.