- . Freer trade in agriculture, wine and spirits, and energy products.
- Enhancement of the auto pact and expanded access to purchases by governments.
- An unprecented code to set rules of the game for trade by the services industries.
- . Greater access for each other's investors. I should just note in parentheses that one of the phenomenon that had been growing in this country recently is the extent to which Canadians are investing in the United States. Foreign investment which we see as an American phenomenon happening here is also increasingly a Canadian phenomenon happening there and this agreement will help move this process along.
- . The exemption of cultural and social programs.

As the Prime Minister said, "While this is not perfect, the trade agreement meets our requirements and all our fundamental objectives.

It meets our bottom line."

The Premier of Ontario, after a meeting yesterday in Ottawa, is reported to have said that the mechanism to resolve disputes, and I quote his words as they appeared in the papers, "That mechanism is not any different from what we've got now". If that is what Mr. Peterson said, he is wrong, and let me tell you why.

First, today, American trade law is applied against Canada on the basis of American decisions alone, often influenced by American politics, increasingly influenced by American protectionism. The new system establishes a fair new judge - a tribunal, which includes Canadians, beyond the reach of the

politics of either country. That is a major change in institutions, of great benefit to Canada. If you don't believe me, just ask anyone who has faced the threat of a U.S. countervail action.

Second, the decisions of the tribunal are binding.

Third, the U.S. administration is formally committed to fighting actions which jeopardize the approval process or undermine the spirit and mutual benefits of the Free Trade