

- The right to try an extradited fugitive for an offence other than that specified in the extradition proceedings. *Ib.*, July-August.
- Spendthrift trusts. *Albany Law Journal*, July 7.
- Extradition between United States and Canada. *Ib.*, August 11.
- Injunction and organized labour. *Ib.*, Sept. 1.
- Relation of master and servant—Volunteered service. *Central Law Journal*, June 8.
- Law of evidence—Like effects from same cause. *Ib.*, June 22.
- Following trust funds under the so-called modern doctrine of equity. *Ib.*, June 29.
- Discretionary power of an agent. *Ib.*, July 13.
- The law of malpractice. *Ib.*, July 30.
- Privileged communications to physicians and surgeons. *Ib.*, August 10.
- The doctrine of estoppel as applied to married women. *Ib.*, August 31.

---

## Flotsam and Jetsam.

---

SOME good stories are going the rounds concerning Sir Matthew Begbie, Chief Justice of British Columbia, who died the other day. Here is one of them : In 1883 a man was charged in Victoria with having killed another man with a sandbag, and in the face of the judge's summing up the jury brought in a verdict of not guilty. This annoyed the Chief Justice, who at once said : "Gentlemen of the jury, mind, that is your verdict, not mine. On your conscience will rest the stigma of returning such a disgraceful verdict. Many repetitions of such conduct as yours will make trial by jury a horrible farce and the city of Victoria a nest of immorality and crime. Go, I have nothing more to say to you." And then turning to the prisoner, the Chief Justice added : "You are discharged. Go and sandbag some of those jurymen ; they deserve it."—*Westminster Gazette*.

THE *Australian Law Times* discusses, in an entertaining manner, the question whether or not a young lady who breaks her leg at a dance can maintain an action against her partner on the ground that it was caused by his clumsiness. The writer intimates the opinion that the man who asks a girl to dance does not undertake to return her to her chaperon in as good order as he receives her—"act of God and the Queen's enemies excused"—but that, at most, his liabilities are those of a gratuitous bailee, not extending beyond gross negligence. Or, looking at the case from another side, that there is no implied warranty on his part that he is reasonably fit for the purpose for which he offers himself as a partner for a dance, as there is no sufficient consideration moving from her to him to support such a warranty. A further point raised is whether or not she did not voluntarily assume the risk of his unfitness.