THE WEEKLY MALL: TORONTO, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1873. TICHBORNE One of the jury: say that was the fin of the tattooing? is the fair logical in evidence and the d The Trial Continued. SEVENTIETH DAY. Dr. Kenealy, in resuming his address on londay, August 11, certinued his comments pon the defendant's examination and cross-camination. In order to account for his inf's ignorance of the second for his examination. In order to acc client's ignorance even of the G ters he quoted Sir Walter Soct', graphy "--" I forgot the very le Greek alphabet;" on which, prior passage was referred to, Walter mentions that he had not tering of Greek " when he came "Life of Scott," vol. 1, p. 5 nentioned a letter of Louis Ph "Life of Scott," vol 1, p. 5. mentioned a letter of Louis Ph Watson in 1804, supple had havits of a Frenchman. Dr called m aid of his client a p speech of Lord Salborne on his to Winchester, which mature 1 should address the audience in would have an opposible in mature 1 for it was possible in mature 1 by gitted men could forget the stat youth, how much more might -taught as Roger Thouse to be them. Alluding to the Bright Dr. Kenesly said to the Bright Dr. Kenesly said to the Bright Dr. Kenesly and the state whill to have , because, he said might surprise the jury to hear not yet in praceson of the act to have. With reference to t bryond a doubt that there is now veriff a lartery, the mark of vith a lancet ttle trouble scars on both feet u n dates." When asked as to e said, in his cross-examinavery, that it was Father Walmes cerved him, and at the trial he Rether Venables, until reminded said before, and then he correct. It had been proved, no doubt, Holmes had got him lists of the Je who were at Stonyhurst when the set of the set there, but the defa. there; but the defendant in his nation, said he had not made t if he had, he said, he would not anable, as he had been, to rem names put to him. So as to the of the movements of his any means of information, ev him and provided for him, fore, while his blunders v ed him the question. — Dr. Kensely : my lord; I consider that I am entitle trast as true every thing stated by my cl and which has not been contradicted. — Justice Mellor said he really could not mit that. It was far too wide a pro-tion Dr. Kenealy proceeded to deal with d Dr. Kenesly proceeded to deal with de-fendaar's statement that he had not told any of the members of his family about the mak-ing of his will, and that it was a long time in preparation, statements which were true. Dr. Kenesly said he similated that the de-fendant had no doubt mine in preparation, and that his family did not know of it? He said also (on the trial) that Mr. Slaughter made it, and not Hopkins, as Hopkins would have bold his father of it. (The evidence is made it, and not Hopkins, as Hopkins we have told his father of it. (The evidence that in January, 1867, he told Gosford, in presence of Hohmes, that Hopkins made will, and he merely mentioned Slaught He said also at the trial that he had sig the will at Canterbury, whereas the dep tion of one of the attesting witnesses been put in to show that it was signed London at Mr. Slaughter's office. (Rog letters show all about it, and show that

London at Mr. Nangpoer s vance. letters show all about it, and show was panding from January to June-nigned it.) Bat Dr. Kensely ary this only showed that the distonar as in other instances, had taken n' o prepare himself for examination careless as to facts and dates. (the first allusion to the subject of it, and it was the contents of the packet's test of hindow tity it was impossible to avoid entering into it and disclosing its contents. Mailpuilla, which was admitted as to the defendativ, and denied as to Roger, Dr Kenealy said he was in no position to call there was no witnesses to prove that Roger was there, but he should demonstrate that he might as million to have be addited to show in support of the Schler Keneal Schler be sufficient for him to show in support of that view the dates given in Roger's Letters, particulars it was based nothing to show that Roger was not at Melli. botting to save the root. The Lord Chief Justice observed that the i defendant in his written deposition had with great deliberation fixed the date of the visit as having taken place on a journey form Valparaiso to Santiago. Dr. Kenealy said Value-Ting takin place on a journey room that was true; but his client, as he had often said, was not answerable as to dates The Lord Chief Justice: Well, but we must try the case by evidence, and cannot shift our view of the evidence to suit the exigen-cies of your case. —Dr. Kenealy said that he must admit that if his client was to be fixed to dates he had no case. Commenting on the defendant's examina-tion as to the emberkation at Rio. Dr. Kenealy noticed that he said he could not get a passport (which Cast) dates dated as a fact). How on earth could the defendant have known that unless he was Rocer': Lact.) How on earth could the defendant and stabave innown that unless he was Roger? A Ji The Lord Chief Justice: Captain Oates in addinad been examined on commission before Kenealy: Yes; just it will not be suggested that the that will defendant had got if from Captain Oates' evi- Justice idence'. The Lord Chief Justice: Why not ? Stony (The defendant was asked in his examina- know tion whether he had not read Cantain Oates' (The Stabase Carbon Carbose). Dr. Kenealy went on to say that Captain ates in his evidence stated that Roger was In his evidence stated that Roger was when he wont on board; but the jury d hear evidence as to that which would a their confidence in Captain Oates' moa. idence. Passing on to the subject of the wreck and the rescue, Dr. Keneally referred to the wreta and dence to negative the arrival of a ship wreck-ed crew at Melbourne, and said that this applied only to English vessels. (The evi-dence interaction of the second second second second dence in the second se accertainty is at present size and the togen ass at the port apply to all ships, and reporters board all ships indicatiminate. Dr. Kenealy, however, stated that he ruld call witnesses to prove that all these supports applied and the second second second regulations as to reporting arrivals ap only to English ships and not to Amer-and he had already intimated the other t his case is that the defendant ed up by an American Osprey. Aluding to the defendant's contradictory accounts of his ourney to Chili, and his intention to at-tend, or not to attend, the Chili commission which, in point of fact, he never attended Dr. Kenealy said he must admit that his ient was a "chaos of inconsistency;" so hat it was very difficult to know whether the was series of the series of the hands of a superior intellect, he had series of the series of th nis oath. Very soon, he said, his client felt himself in the hands of a superior intellect, and speedily fell into confusion, and was conscious of it. Adverting to the Wagga-Wagga will, which the defendant admitted in cross-examination efendant admitted "untrue from the t," Dr. Kenealy eginning to the end of it," How do you account for Roy Child Olsadoe : was quite incom ing it 1-Dr. Kenealy said he could not ac-count for it. He then adverted to the pass-age in which the defendant was asked whether he had not sent a message to his whether he had not sent a message to his ***evence at the nor sects a message to ms which roge grandfibter), and he said, "I cannot have and for the said, "I cannot have a same a same and the said, great bewilderment and son-lation of mind.-The load Chief Justice adjourned. Kenealy said, great bewilderment and con-fusion of mind.—The Lord Chief Jastice : Are we to take it that he did send such a message or that he did not '2-Dr. Kenealy : I really cannot say, my lord. It is left in this way--that he says he does not know and cannot answer. With reference to the defendant's statement as to Miss Hales, of Canterbury, that he courted her and kept the subject of a charge of perjury, and which the subject of a charge of perjury, and which stated that he should astify the jury that could assure then with her, though he could not call any room at the time. Dr. K-nealy wont on advent to the subject of tattooing, and fre-refusion of the subject of tattooing, and fre-refusion of the subject of tattooing an after-neated the subject of tattooing an after-SEVENTY-On Wednesday, A thought, connocted during the last trial. On between eighty the 5th of June, 1871, the Attorney-General companions in June, 1871, the Attorney-General companions who would a their oaths to bar with a strate to days afterwards, or he 7kh of June, 1871, the Attorney-Strategy and the statistic days afterwards, or he 7kh of June, 1871, the Attorney-Strategy and the statistic days afterwards, or he 7kh of June, 1871, the Attorney-Strategy and the statistic days afterwards, or he 7kh of June, 1871, the statistic days afterwards, or he 7kh of June, 1871, the statistic days after wards at the statistic days after the s agreed and whe were spoken to