

AND ADVERTISER

PRICE TWO CENTS

tariff on some things as they had a right to. when nominated as one of them the only

tariff on some things they had a right to. The present Government were left a legacy from the late Government, in the shape of heavy debts which had to be provided for. The Government Mr. Giddie objected to in 1854, had not the means to pay the money was wanted, and if necessary to raise more money he was in favor of a higher tariff, but not solely for the benefit of a few manufacturers. (Cheers.) The manufacturers were to pay 25 per cent, and because they were not making any headway. Mr. Lukes hit on the idea that they would ring in the farmers and get them to go for protection. To show where in the matter he had only

when nominated as one of the only excuse he gave for not accepting was that he would be away from home, leaving it to be inferred that he would approve of the tariff. He said, and he was right, that he could not go with them in making a choice. The Reformers of South Wellington were not easily lead away from their principles and his attempt to defeat their candidate would prove a failure. The late Government has cut down the expenses of the country two and a half millions of dollars in one year, instead of increasing the taxes during the hard times, the Reformers have been able to show the late Government their support. From the same paper.

to tell them that while the millers were not to be taxed, the farmers would not object to wheat coming in free. He said the farmer should have a fair share on the tariff, but he was not prepared to put on a tariffholder for a second year, and he would not go any farther than was needed. But whether the Government requires the money or not, the manufacturers say you must put on a higher tariff to get the money, and it is difficult in regard to the American estate is not so much from the want of a higher tariff as it is from a lack of a higher tariff.

The farmers' interest were suffering on account of what American cattle came to the market. The tariff was raised to redress any inequality in the tariff. If the tariff was raised at the present time, it would be an extreme protectionist, it would take five or six dollars out of the consumer's pocket to every three dollars that the farmer gets. The tariff is born in subject to duty, and if the duty is raised you are patting taxes on yourselves. At Erin Village the other day, a man from the St. Lawrence sugar refinery in Montreal being closed,

if the duty that was asked had been put in anger, it would have raised a tax of about \$6 a year, or nearly equal to the \$5 duty on machinery. It would have been paid by the country and all for the benefit of this one manufacturer. It would pay the country for the Government to pension all the men thrown out of work by the closing of the mills. It would pay the country for the men who were advocating such a policy, he was interrupted by Mr. Card, who said he did not wish to be counted among them. The speaker said he would not be counted among the men who were to be benefited by the tariff. He said he would not be counted among the men who were to be benefited by the tariff. He said he would not be counted among the men who were to be benefited by the tariff.

manufacturer is to be protected, why should he be allowed to manufacture the goods for them. He said Mackenzie had stated that by putting a protective tariff on farm produce it would no longer raise the price one cent. If such was the case why did the Americans put 20 cents

tection should be granted to them as well as others. If you carry protection all round, it will be no protection at all. What is sought by the manufacturers association is protection for monopolies. He showed how hollow all this cry was among consumers. He pointed to their desire for wheat, 25 cents on barley, and \$110.00 a bush. If there was no benefit to be derived why did they do it? When they frame a policy they do it for their own interests, not ours. The Americans are not going to grant reciprocity to Canada, and the farmers and mechanics of this country are not

Reciprocity Treaty. When the Hon. Geo. Brown was arranging for such a treaty they were the very ones who abused it, and used all their influence to oppose it.— (Hear, hear.)—He showed the fallacy of a retaliatory policy, and asked if the whole

on a mere chance—a mere possibility of driving the Americans into Reciprocity. The American tariff averages forty five per cent, and would it not be a fine thing to raise our tariff to double what it is now? Are the consumers prepared to pay double for all classes of goods what they are now, if he is patriotic. The speaker asked the audience if any of Mr. Worwick's en-

facturers say if you give us more protection we will give you a guarantee that goods will be as cheap then as now? Catch them, do-

ing one such thing. (Cheer.) Their sole object is to get more for their goods. Take the case of coal oil, for instance, because of protection is about double the price that it ought to be, and that is brought about by the Combination. The extra price they paid went, not into the Government revenue, but into the pockets

House for Stained at a late session moved that the duty be taken off coal oil. The constituency he represents is on the borders, and the people living there pay forty cents per gallon for coal oil, while those living just across get all they want at Llaneta. He also instanced the effect that a high order of the Government, from Mr. Rowlesworth, and if he could get \$3,000,000, and if he would give the protection he wanted he would make it \$6,000. He referred to the consolidation of the country by Confederation, and blamed the present Government for it. "better terms" given to the Lower Province. He said he would not give up.

a few of the salt-tell owners in Canada want? . Rather let us have a policy that will ensure our steady growth. It is necessary to be very careful how we should tax ourselves. In the United States factories are closing and men thrown out

Mr. James Young, M. P., for South
Wielox, was then introduced, and was
received with great applause. He said
he was pleased to be present on this
occasion to say a word in favour of the
present Government, which, all things
considered, was the best that had yet
been seen in the history of the country.

small manufacturers. Has not Geoph. and Hamilton and other places flourished under the present and lower tariffs? Have not manufacturers in almost every case grown rich—richer than ten farmers put together—and yet they want no more?

to help them to get richer a little more. It had been said by his opponents that he would destroy the manufactures of the town. He asked if any sane person would so likely to even wish to destroy a place wherein all their interests were bound up. Those who knew him at all knew that

nothing was farther from his desire than to do anything that would be detrimental to the interests of the town or Riding. The policy he advocated was better for the growth and prosperity of the town than that advocated by his opponents. He referred to his position on the question of clearing out. It was very early that day like Mr. Goldie with whom he had been terms of friendship for many years, he kind himself to this plot, for it was not less than joining hands with the Conservatives. (Hear, hear.) What was the nature of the compact? Is it one of principle?

John A. Macdonald would introduce prohibition sooner than Mr. MacKenzie. Riedges have been reported to be made, which have never been made. What is wanted by the electors is what a man means to do and is doing. What is wanted is a man who will

He would support prohibition as every opportunity, but to turn out the Government because they would not bring in such a bill he would not do. Hon. Alex Mackenzie was the first statesman in the country that placed a prohibitory liquor law on the statute books of this country. It was a combination was formed to strike down Government, and to tax a whole country that a few might put money in their pockets. (Cheers.) Was their any patriotism in this? No, but rather it was a conspiracy against the general interests of the country.

the North-West. (Cheers.) If Mr. Goldie was opposed to the Government on one or two questions it would not be so very strange if he should go over altogether. He was satisfied that the Conservatives in the Riding would admire and support a

candidate in a square box, and he is driven by the extreme portion of the party to support whom they put up the farmer. Mr. Goldie claims to be a good Reformer but still he promises to do all in his power to put the Government out. The speaker referred to the action Mr. He, (Mr. Young), would yield to no man was a farmer, manufacturer, or mechanic, so long as he did his

He Goldie took in the selection of a reform
candidate. He went to the meeting call-
high a ed for the appointing of delegates, and