CRANSWICK not know that you had been so busy and so successful in Mannfounded. Mr chester. To what other circumstances you allude I know er to say what not. This I do know, that wherever I went I continually heard uiry, I am insomething of the eayings of Mr Shreve as to the invalidity of anthority on the baptisms &c. of Methodist ministers and others. ely-that the neral impression was attempted to be made that we had no d. A gentlescriptural right to baptize &c I was grieved that any person Manchester, calling himself a Protestant clergyman should lend himself in ereby yo are any way to the propagation of such absurd statements,).) says, that founded as they were on the fable of the uninterrupted succesn to warn the sion. It was time to speak out-and I intend to speak still g from God, more plainly,—and hope to be able to make even you are manthe deploraed of this worthless conceit. tly recollect itating, that, e true pro-2.) He uthed, at one

Letter II.

No positive command in Scripture in favour of Diocesan Episcopacy—Miscellaneous matters—The Christian Church formed after the model of the Jewish Synagogue—Miscellaneous.

REV. SIR,

HAVING replied fully to the statements contained in your preface, I now turn my attention to the contents of your Letters. I do not however think it necessary on this occasion to notice all the matters you have introduced, as some of these are totally irrelevant to the subject in debate; but those which

are essential will receive consideration.

You certainly must feel as far as the decisions of scripture are concerned, that the ground on which you stand against the non-episcopal part of the religious world, is exceedingly slender. Hence in the commencement of your first letter, I find you asking this question, " Is a plain, positive, and direct command absolutely necessary, to decide the point in question?" (p. 1.) This is not a very flattering beginning. but the query itself deserves an answer. My reply is, if you make Diocesan Epiecopacy essential to a true Church, as you are evidently striving to do, I think you ought to produce something positive by which this form of government is either enjoined, or the Church restricted to it. It is very reasonable that you should not presume to unchurch all non-episcopal denominations of christians without you can produce from the New Testament some precept, rule, or law, by which the the divine will evidently declares Diocesan Episcopacy to be necessary to constitute a true church: " For where no law is,

sleyans,

when
if these
ir early
hase
flourthereI did

nunion, but Church of

ning to his

the Church.

oduce their

t will the question,

zentleman,

he reason.

ers? We

hodist So.

neaning of

Apostles!

copalians

nst Mr C.

n. Both

fully you

iate Mr

ocess in

o which

rs. The

Manchester