delight in executing vengeance on sin; or ever exhibited God as a creature more hard-hearted and less willing to forgive than His creatures; or proclaimed that God let off the rogue, while He tortured the innocent; or talked of the fury of God being appeased by the interposition (Moberiey, 283-342; Van Dyke, 46of Jesus Christ? 184; Ciow, 159, etc.). And who, but a Unitarian like Martineau would ever dream of asking how the alleged immorality of letting off the sinner is mended by the added crime of penalty crushing the sinless; or what we could think of a Judge who should discharge the felons from the prisons of a city because some noble and generous citizen offered himseif to the executioner, instead? We cannot deduce Scriptural (Dale, p. 394.) No! views of the Atonement from non-Biblical conceptions of d these and all such travesties the Person of Christ: of the truth are simply the misrepresentations of that revamped Socinianism, which is so widely leavening the theology of many of the outstanding thought-leaders of to-day in German, British, and American theology. It is only, therefore, as we approach with a humble heart and an open spiritual mind, that we can expect to grasp a subject which the master minds of the ages have acknowledged to be the magnum mysterium of revelation.