

# Baseball

# Toronto in Fourth Place

# Scores Gossip

# Club Races Saturday

# Shamrocks Win From Toronto 6-5

## Note and Comment

The passing was short and brilliant on both sides in the lacrosse incident Saturday between Toronto and Shamrocks, and it was especially when he was compelled to take a short rest on the side line.

The Shamrocks started and finished the stronger and thus deserved their victory. They evinced greater spirit and more adeptness at intercepting passes. The Irishmen undoubtedly showed the greater resolution, never flinching in their round and nearly always garnering the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

## McGinley Should Have Won First-Durham Fought All Over Lot in Second, When Vandy and Kelley Are Canned.

PROVIDENCE, R.I., June 13.—(Staff Special.)—Toronto lost both games of a double-header to the Grays on Saturday. Point this afternoon, dropping the first by a 3 to 2 score and the second, a six-inning encounter, by a 4 to 1 score.

The Shamrocks started and finished the stronger and thus deserved their victory. They evinced greater spirit and more adeptness at intercepting passes. The Irishmen undoubtedly showed the greater resolution, never flinching in their round and nearly always garnering the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

How could the Shamrocks lose? Hadn't they their full strategy staff on hand, including Tom Slattery, Harry McLaughlin and Tom O'Connell, who were round and nearly always garnered the loose balls behind the net.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

McGinley should have won the first game, but he was canned. Vandy and Kelley were also canned.

## BASEBALL RECORDS.

Clubs.	Eastern League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Rochester	26	18	8	.692
Montreal	21	15	6	.714
Buffalo	20	14	6	.700
Toronto	19	13	6	.684
Baltimore	18	12	6	.667
Newark	17	11	6	.647
Providence	16	10	6	.625
Jersey City	15	9	6	.600

Clubs.	National League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	American League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Philadelphia	25	18	7	.720
New York	24	17	7	.708
Boston	23	16	7	.692
Cleveland	22	15	7	.682
Chicago	21	14	7	.667
Washington	20	13	7	.650
St. Louis	19	12	7	.630
Philadelphia	18	11	7	.610

Clubs.	Western League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
St. Paul	24	18	6	.750
Minneapolis	23	17	6	.739
Omaha	22	16	6	.727
Des Moines	21	15	6	.714
Sioux Falls	20	14	6	.700
Lincoln	19	13	6	.684
Rockford	18	12	6	.667
St. Joseph	17	11	6	.647

Clubs.	International League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	Atlantic League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	South Atlantic League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	Florida League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	Georgia League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	Alabama League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	Arkansas League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	Mississippi League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	Louisiana League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

Clubs.	Missouri League.	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Pittsburgh	23	12	11	.684
Chicago	22	11	11	.659
Boston	21	10	11	.647
New York	20	9	11	.625
Philadelphia	19	8	11	.600
Brooklyn	18	7	11	.577
Baltimore	17	6	11	.556
St. Louis	16	5	11	.533

## Amateur Baseball

That the move on the part of the president of the Don Valley League in securing the return of Ed. Barnes was a wise one, was shown on Saturday, when the two games on the program were run off in three hours, besides not one kick being registered on his decisions. The largest crowd of the season was on hand, being easily 1200, a good percentage being ladies. In the first game, the Cardinals got off to a good start and were never headed, Graham being very effective in light corners. Yates, for losers, also twirled well, but errors and poor base-running lost him his game. Eight in the second game was the best played yet in the series, both teams playing bunched hits in the sixth inning, the Irishmen started a rally in the eighth and ninth, and with the bases occupied, a hit would have tied the score, but Alward struck the last two batters out. Altogether the games were very interesting and devoid of great credit on the part of the league. Score:—

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

## LACROSSE SCORES.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver 5. Crest A.C. 10, Bradford 2.

Shamrocks 6, Toronto 5. Nationals 2, Capitals 2. Westminister 8, Vancouver