

shewn that the province of Quebec is not a place for any person of British origin and loyalty to settle in, and these (my) letters are demonstrative of the truth thereof. Another Roman Catholic owes me for years past a balance of the price of a wood sawing machine, but am loth to sue him before such an Anaconda as the heresy slayer Rouleau, or the fossilization Foster Moncreef, J.P.? Tush!

And now, my Children and Countrymen, you have seen by my letter of 10th November, that in February, 1876, only a little more than three years ago, my horses, cattle, etc amounted to the value of . . . \$472 50
 Since then my land was by competent valua-
 tors valued thus, bush land 130 acres, \$5 ac. 659 00
 Cleared land, 40 acres at \$16 per acres . . 1,120 00
 Building material and buildingwork on the
 ground. 200 00

\$2,442 50

Now there has been lost by me this whole amount of \$2,442.50, over and above all the time, labor of travelling on foot hundreds of miles, 77 endeavoring to find some way of living, and to support my orphan children, and over and above all my loss in money in consequence of my crops having been illegally wrested out of my management and use. And I am, at this moment in quest of, and may have some trouble in finding a situation and my age is over 55 years. Now, who are responsible before impartial and intelligent men and God for all this? Mani-festly the following persons, namely:—

1. Charlotte Daly and her husband, Thomas Daly, because of their slanders and libels, and breach of faith and engagement (by said Thomas Daly, in February, 1876).