with these disorders consigned themselves to the protection of itinerant charletans and other imposters. Of the notorious few who then acquired nondarity, was no less a person than Martin Van Buchell, whose eccentricities have gained him a place among other bygone curiosities who have strutted their fretful hou, on earth's slight stage. He devoted himself at once to the study of incdience and to that of mechanics, and his genins seeming to lie in a happy reunion of the intellectual and the muscular, he gave it full scope—first in tooth drawing, then in truss making, and subsequently in curing fistular. Of his own protensions and peculiarities, he speaks homself in the following extract from one of his singular advertisements. "Am not I the first healer (at this day) of bad fistule? With an handsome beard like Hippocrates! The combing I sell one gainea each hair. (Of use to the fair that want fine children. I can tell them how; it is a secret.)" (Van B.'s mode of cure we have no account in our possession, but we do happen to have an account of the practice of one of his contemporaries, which may serve, if no better purpose, at least to convey some information as to the ideas then entertained of fistulæ, and of human feeling. Dions, in reference to enc Le Movne, says, "His method consisted in the use of causties, that is to say, with a corrosive unguent with which he covered a small tent, which he thrust into the ulcer, by which he daily, hule by little, consumed the circumference, taking care to enlarge the tent daily; so that by widening of the fistula he discovered the bottom. If he found there any callosity, he corroded it with his ointment, which also served to destroy the coney burrows, and at last with patience he cured many."

Although at the present day we have risen high beyond such ignorance, yet the literature of the subject is rather in a backward state than otherwise. It is true that several writers have ushered into the world their contributions, and in all shapes, from the meagre article to the portly compendium, but yet expectation has not been satisfied, and the reviews, at least those descrying of consideration as such, have been far from being commendatory or flattering. Even two of the most familiar,—the books of Syme and Bushe on diseases of the rectum—have in the pages of Forles' Quarterly, met with a discussion by no means favorable. It would follow, then, that an opening did exist for a good publication on this particular topic before the appearance of Dr. A.'s work.

We would not it were supposed that our author thus intr luced himself to notice—that he rendered himself obvious through the defects he had disclosed in others. For far from such a method, he admits in his title page, the obligations under which he rests to both the gentlemen