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We took occasion on the 24th of
last month to direct publio attention

 |  | Friday, Aptil 17. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | to our commercial interests as they

stand affected to-day by the imposi-
 cent. apon all imported goods. The
article we refer to was suggested by the action taken by one of our memLegielative Council, in referenee to th
intention of the Government upe the question of granting drawback
upon goods taken out of bond for ex portstion to loreigo ports. The in-
terests involved by the present policy
of the Government are so importan
that we deem no apology necessar for returning to the mather this morn ing; and we do so in hope that the
present session of the Legisiature will
not be permitted to close without some arrangement being made bene-
ficial to our commercial community, which it is admitted on all sides the
granting of drawbscks would afford.
The merits of the question, no matter from, what point it mas be viewed, i simply one of revenue ; and the closer
we keep to that view the ehorter and more decisive the argument becomes
If we can show, by figures and tacts, admitcing of no qualification, that th direct general revenue of the country prosperity diffused throughout th
country by the adoption of the draw sback eystem, we cannot be accused of
hosility to the Government, or of any accumulated difficalties the $Y$ bave had lately to encounter, and thus create a
dislike to anything we may say where
the Government i8. concerned. In so the Government is concerried. In so
many words then we may put the case
thus: On the Union of the Colonies the Free Port of Victoria was abolish-
ed. We accepted the condition, because, as we bave already freely admitted,
it was impossible to eustain it was impossible 10 sustain two
different systems of tazation with barmony or advantage. Altiongh in.
bued with Free Trade principles, as illustrated in the policy of the late
Sir Robert Peel, we even went farther, Sir Robert Peel, we even went farther,
acknowledged the immense good ac orning to our agricultural and produc-
tive interests by the abolition of our Free Purt, and that really it was of
little moment to Victoria if it were to other important interests, we muat stop and take a decided stand. By
the abolition of our Free Port, our commerce has been cat down to mere
insignificance, our domestic capital
idly locked up, and foreign capital as aurely bept away, our merchant,
crippled iuthtir transactions, and a branches of trade created thronghou the whole country: Now, no Goverdproducing such a slate of things, or of
continuing such a state of thinga, on og to adopt a more liberal poliey for the general good over the one in
practice, especially when it can be shuwn that the latter would increase
the revinue nearly fivetold. That is
all the Government can derire, ay
well as the people and ourselves, so well as the people all working toge her
that really we are all
in our respective vocations fur the eame good-the increase of the general
revenue. In looking into this matter
yesterday, we confess our astoniah
ment (though a bad sign in a man to nineteench centary), at the figuree
and facts whioh camo to our know.
ledge. In the first place, we fivd the
total importation from Eng aid for

