

**P**O W E R farmers throughout the prairies have come to depend on SILVER STAR KEROSENE, ROYALITE COAL OIL and PREMIER GASOLINE as the standard tractor fuels. They appreciate the uniformly good results these fuels give. They appreciate what "Imperial Service" has done in making these fuels easily obtainable through 500 and more Imperial Tank Stations. One of the 500 is probably near you. IMPERIAL OIL-LIMITED. Branches Throughout Canada.

### Steam Plowers, ATTENTION!

CANMORE COAL

Highest Grade Steam Coal  
Produced in Canada

Canadian Coal for Canadian Farmers  
Equal to the best American steam coal  
Saves Expenses - Reduces Fuel  
Costs - Reduces Expenses and increases  
the value of your machinery.

**Birnie Lumber & Coal Co. Ltd.**  
GENERAL SALES AGENTS  
CALGARY CANADA

A collar that has all the smart style and correct finish of untreated linen yet can be cleaned instantly with soap and water leaves greatly on laundry bills. 25 cents at stores or direct.

ARLINGTON CO. OF CANADA  
LIMITED  
58 Fraser Ave., Toronto

**CHALLENGE**  
**WATER  
PROOF**  
**COLLARS**

### THE Plow Man Tractor

2-3 Plow 13-30

The Machine that develops the Power and  
starts up under heavy duty work



ALL STANDARD ECONOMICAL  
Uses Kerosene for Fuel, Easy to Operate  
One Man Controls

We also handle:  
Aultman and Taylor Separators  
and Tractors.

J. I. Case Plows.  
Tracford a power attachment  
for Ford cars.

For all kinds of tractors for Vulcan  
and Tracford Separators, also for Plow Man  
Tractor. Write us for catalogue today.

**The Edmonton Tractor & Implement Co.**  
90267-9th Street EDMONTON, Alta.

If you do not see what you want  
advertised in this issue, write us,  
and we will put you in touch with  
the makers.

### The Grain Growers' Guide

to assume any such thing. Even during these war times, when prices for farm produce have been very high, farm expenses have risen almost, if not quite, in proportion, except perhaps, in a few special cases. Moreover does Mr. Parsons know that all of the cereals, the value of which produced 32 per cent. upon the capital, were sold? Possibly a large bulk of them were fed to live stock and sold as "other than cereals." If such is the case, Mr. Parsons' argument fails to the ground, at once as worthless, even supposing his other assumptions valid. Under normal conditions, and throughout all Canada, the results are very different, as Mr. Parsons may see if he will examine the statistics for the years 1910-14.

#### Calculations Wide of the Mark

Mr. Parsons' figuring on the implement business is interesting. I fear, however, that he has overlooked the fact that the Canadian farmer not only pays the duty on imported implements, but the equivalent enhanced price—that "incidental protection," which Mr. Parsons says has brought so many industries to Hamilton—as well. In such case, Mr. Parsons' calculations are very wide of the mark. At all events, they are entirely different from those of careful farmers who have made estimates of the cost of the Tariff tax.

May I suggest further that the "manufacturers" would doubtless get great relief by having free raw material and free machinery, even if their protecting were cut off. And this is only fair. Were the "manufacturers'" protection withdrawn without relieving him of the tax upon his raw material and his machinery he would be in the same position in which Canadian farmers have found themselves for so long—forced to sell in an open market and to buy in a restricted market. We protest against this for ourselves and we wish to extend to all other Canadian industries the same fair play that we demand for ourselves. Of course, it is theoretically possible to make protection fair to all parties. This is the ostensible ideal of the sincere Protectionist. In such case every industry must suffer equal disadvantage and enjoy equal advantage. It is as if half a dozen snakes were placed head to tail in a circle and each started to swallow the one in front at an equal rate; such would be "adequate protection to all Canadian industries," a phrase which has made its originator ridiculous. In practice, however, protection doesn't work out that way; one or more of the snakes is handicapped, and Mr. Parsons doubtless has sufficient imagination to realize what would happen in such a case, and to apply the moral.

I make no comment upon Mr. Parsons' claim for recognition of the Canadian "manufacturers'" contributions and obligations, other than to suggest that the "manufacturers'" contributions as capitalists are relatively trifling, and could very easily be discussed peace, as they have in the British executive movement. Further, I venture to say that Canada's oil areas will absorb a goodly number of willing workers, and that it will not be particularly necessary for a worker to get permission from a committee in order to get honest and easy-going living.

Mr. Hughes' remarks, as quoted, may not fully represent Australia's attitude. They are, however,

merely an exhortation to maintain the Empire, which may, or may not, be a good thing for the Empire or the world. Germany is the crowning example of national organization. The vital thing is the spirit and purpose of the organization.

#### Greater Production Necessary

Mr. Parsons speaks truly, when he says: "There is only one way to pay off our accumulated war debts, and that is by producing in field, forest, mine and factory all that we possibly can," etc. This, too, is the only way to secure material prosperity at home. We cannot enjoy it if we do not produce. Greater production is highly desirable. And it is for this very reason that farmers believe in such a radical change in our fiscal policy as is set forth in the "Farmers' National Platform." Such will involve readjustments, but, on the whole, will greatly stimulate production, because it opens wide the opportunity to labor and kill out parasitism. We advocate the National Platform on national grounds.

Mr. Parsons proceeds to expatiate on the need for "home markets." Some of us have heard this before, and would be more inclined to pay attention to it if we believed that Free Trade would wipe out all our secondary industries. Moreover, if the home market is the only one that will be available for farm produce, how are we going to pay off our foreign debt?—Perhaps we shall do that with our manufacturers! Then there will be a home market, and we shall be a manufacturing country, like England, providing our manufacturers can compete with other manufacturers in the foreign market. They claim that they cannot compete in the market next their very doors, and need "protection"—so the outlook is bad, no matter which way we turn. No doubt if the farmers' policy is adopted all our manufacturers will be killed, and, as our farmers will have neither a home market nor a foreign market they will be forced to raise only enough food for themselves and their families! We shall be reduced to the homely condition of patriarchal times, with a few primitive pastoral people roaming over the plains hunting wild cattle, as the red Indians did the buffalo! And our foreign debt? That must either be repudiated or we must remain in perpetual financial servitude!

#### Time to Brush Away the Cobwebs.

When it comes to the question of international trade, protectionists' brains are filled with many cobwebs, through which things look all topsy-turvy. Mr. Parsons should brush these away by a serious study of Political Economy, and then he would know how "to build up and not tear down," a sentiment with which we are in complete accord.

To Mr. Parsons' concluding paragraph, we all say "Amen." "Put Together," I have done what I could, even to the point of offering to explain what was alleged to mystify the "manufacturers." All advances, however, have received the polite rebuff, so that I have been forced to conclude that the C.M.A. is, on the whole, quite unwilling to discuss Tariff matters with farmers. Mr. Parsons talks differently, and I can only hope that his views may yet prevail. Let him not delay too long, however, lest one shade wholly engulf the other, thus making a friendly talk a tale impossible.



Men of the Machine Gun Corps galloping into Action.

August 28, 1

### The Y

DAN LAROME good for no embracement preserved time. Dan jail breaking, so hard that his almost entirely the flesh folds a heavy mouth was a smirk of con yellow teeth. The for his own place inherent terror thin old woman a man like a took to the jail escaped nearly his hooded, that had been born, any kind gun.

Larcombe emphatically as back without a phragm. Even a warning growler who rode to which presented unusual and all sation of a pipe to a congregant spleen and sun. "Aint this Aunt Zib? Y' hand me over. I he ventured at said, meek v glanced at him. "Do I look town to do sh scornfully.

"Have you own nephew. A

"As a bir her nest, so is from his place bish, compas

"The mercif own soul; but his own flesh."

"Aw, cut it. "He that shall inherit ti guess that you hand me o you loved me."

"There was t me wear a p time, Aunt Ze got the sash a round the oil my birth wa goin' Member?"

"It—it wa nodded Miss

"Seems like

time back, As

"You was come next Fri

"Daddie! I'd goin' to be happy birthday

my unlucky d

"It and a day, Danny,

tremulously.

"You fers said that time mifflly.

"I key fe Larcombe unable longer her forgave now her you by coming h sk helped you loved you. How could y

"Listen, I hopefully.

Aunt Zib, I'd said, I'm so to take the

"The her the Lord hat

"Won't y

chanet, Aunt

"Chaser hope, an' he cryin'."

"Don't y

you straight