

C148645

and strong today as it was in 1911. I venture to say that if such a treaty comes in the form it did, or in anything like so extensive a form, before the people of this country again, and they have time and opportunity to see to the centre of it, to know its meaning and to know its purpose, the verdict will be just the same as in 1911, and just as firmly pronounced. Hon. gentlemen, argue as though, had the treaty been made, it would have been permanent like the laws of the Medes and Persians. I. as a Canadian, loving Canada, loving our association in this Empire: I, as a Canadian and a British subject, would not welcome the treaty in the least even though I believed it was going to be permanent. But I know it never can be permanent; I know the permanency of it rests chiefly with the stronger power, the stronger commercial weight - that is to say with the United States of America."

(House of Commons Debates, Session 1922, Vol. 3, p. 2527.)

W.L.M. King Papers, Memoranda and Notes, 1933-1939 (M.G. 26, J 4, volume 218, pages C148414-C149379)

PUBLIC ARCHIVES
ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES
CANADA

