

**Mr. Mulroney:** The Hon. Member has never gotten over her feeling of sadness that, when she leaves Queens Park, something good happens.

**Some Hon. Members:** Hear, hear!

**Mr. Foster:** What have you got against Frank?

**Mr. Nystrom:** Would Bill Davis like that?

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please.

**Mr. Mulroney:** I was away for about 15 business days in Europe, Regina, Moscow, and elsewhere. My attendance record, adjusted for government business, is approximately 65 per cent. The attendance of the Leader of the Opposition and the Leader of the NDP is just about the same. He should make the allowance that I had to travel.

When the NDP get into it, with what they're doing in Toronto their new slogan must be: "If you will be my valentine, I will be your concubine".

**Some Hon. Members:** Oh, oh!

\* \* \*

## THE ADMINISTRATION

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST GUIDELINES

**Hon. Warren Allmand (Notre-Dame-de-Grâce-Lachine East):** Mr. Speaker, the Prime Minister did not answer my question. As a matter of fact, his flippant answer to my serious question is a clear violation of what was said in the Speech from the Throne, when he said: "The purpose of my Government is to renew the confidence of Canadians that Parliament is truly the voice of the people and that Government is their servant". Is the Prime Minister serious about his priority on Parliament? He did not answer the question which was put to him by my hon. colleague. I will put the question to him again, and I will quote from the conflict of interest guidelines. They state:

Ministers shall not accord preferential treatment in relation to any official matter to relatives or friends or to organizations in which their—

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. Members who are yelling and telling me that that is not a supplementary question are making it impossible for me to tell whether it is supplementary because I cannot hear the question. Would the Hon. Member please come to his question?

**Mr. Allmand:** Mr. Speaker, if one closely examines that item in the conflict of interest guidelines, how can the Prime Minister justify the Minister of Justice appointing his sons for legal business—

● (1500)

**Mr. Speaker:** Order. Now that I have heard it, the question is clearly not supplementary.

## Point of Order—Mr. Allmand

### FISHERIES

#### FISHING BY FOREIGN VESSELS OFF WEST COAST

**Mr. Ray Skelly (Comox-Powell River):** Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans, who just stepped behind the curtains. The Minister expressed serious outrage at the time when he found out that the East Coast fisheries were being abused by foreign fishing fleets. Yet on the West Coast there are no observers for the foreign fishing vessels, there is no monitoring of the interception by Japanese and Asian fishermen, and there is certainly no research, or high seas tagging program. Would the Minister care to explain to this House why on the East Coast there can be studies, investigations, monitoring and observers, and there is nothing on the West Coast?

**Hon. John A. Fraser (Minister of Fisheries and Oceans):** Yes, I can, Mr. Speaker. It has something to do with the 200-mile limit.

#### MINISTER'S POSITION

**Mr. Ray Skelly (Comox-Powell River):** I wish the Minister would take some time to inform himself exactly on what the difference is. His officials in committee indicated there was not one dollar for observers, monitoring or research, and high seas tagging. How can the Minister justify being the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans and failing to fulfil completely the responsibilities he has to the West Coast fishing industry?

**Hon. John A. Fraser (Minister of Fisheries and Oceans):** Mr. Speaker, the interceptions to which the Hon. Member is undoubtedly referring are well out into the Pacific and beyond our 200-mile limit.

\* \* \*

## CLERK OF PETITIONS' REPORTS

**Mr. Speaker:** I have the honour to inform the House that the petitions, with the exception of four petitions, presented by Hon. Members on Wednesday, June 5, 1985, meet the requirements of the Standing Orders as to form.

Certain petitions presented by the Hon. Member for New Westminster-Coquitlam (Ms. Jewett) do not meet the requirements of the Standing Orders as to form.

\* \* \*

## POINTS OF ORDER

### LEGITIMACY OF SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION

**Hon. Warren Allmand (Notre-Dame-de-Grâce-Lachine East):** Mr. Speaker, during the Question Period when I put my first question it had to do with the seriousness with which the Prime Minister (Mr. Mulroney) considered the Question Period. When he gave me an answer to that question, I wanted