

*Criminal Code*

we are witnessing now are the results of too much freedom of conscience. Let us live according to our own conscience. To a young 17-year old boy we say: live according to your conscience. They are still in diapers, but they are told to act according to their conscience. What a strange political philosophy.

It is for those very reasons that one sees revolutions, wars, anarchy, etc. It can be explained by the lack of authority of those who are supposed to use authority. When the authority has no respect for itself, situations like the present one will arise. The authority is mainly afraid of losing votes.

Mr. Speaker, did the Prime Minister of Canada (Mr. Trudeau) draft this bill? Pressure must have come from some quarters. From where? I have not made suggestions to the Prime Minister, nor have the Liberal members. They are not the ones who suggested to the actual Prime Minister, when he was Minister of Justice, to bring forward the original Bill C-195. I am convinced there are powers at work behind the scene who have drafted the bill and compelled the government to put it before the house. Today we are receiving letters of complaint about this bill.

I am sure the Leader of the Official Opposition (Mr. Stanfield) will vote in favour of the motion for second reading of the bill and reference to the committee. He knows the opposition will not be able to do anything in committee. So it is a lot of nonsense. Tonight on the C.B.C. network, we shall hear our usual loud-mouths say: The hon. Leader of the Opposition is in favour of the bill on second reading, so it can be discussed in committee. But he will not even be there to discuss it in committee.

**An hon. Member:** It is true.

**Mr. Caouette:** It is true, Mr. Speaker. A Liberal member has just said that I am right. I know that there are some Conservative members who agree with me.

Mr. Speaker —

**Mr. Valade:** The Prime Minister will not take part in the debate in committee.

**Mr. Caouette:** At the next election, the Prime Minister will not be there either! During the election campaign last June, did any Liberal member consult the members of religious orders,—brothers, fathers, nuns—to ask them what they thought of Bill C-150?

**Some hon. Members:** Yes.

**Mr. Caouette:** Mr. Speaker, I hear some hon. members say "yes". Why do they not rise from their seats to tell us what the members of religious orders said to them at that time? The Liberals told them: You must vote for the Liberal party, it is the great national party par excellence. At that time, the parish priests, the curates, the brothers and the nuns all fell for those sweet words, but when they voted for the Liberal party, they voted for this bill respecting abortion that is now under consideration.

**Some hon. Members:** Hear, hear.

**Mr. Caouette:** Fortunately, everyone did not fall for that sweet talk, in all parts of the country. In my area, the nuns know for whom to vote, as well as the members of religious orders, the parish priests and the curates. These people know that I say exactly what I think and not what someone else thinks. I believe this bill should never have been introduced in the house.

Mr. Speaker, may I say that it is five o'clock?

[*English*]

## PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the house that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: The hon. member for Compton (Mr. Latulippe)—Canadian Economy—remedial measures contemplated by government; the hon. member for York South (Mr. Lewis)—Indian Affairs—Yellowknife, N.W.T.—regulations in Akaitcho Hall; the hon. member for Frontenac-Lennox and Addington (Mr. Alkenbrack)—Public Buildings—Bath-Millhaven federal prison complex.

It being five o'clock the house will now proceed to the consideration of private members business as listed on today's order paper, namely, public bills, private bills, notices of motions.