

we go along. ENGOs and most of the countries (except JUSCANZ) felt that it is more than time to get on our way with the implementation. Need we to say that the proposed reductions of Annex 1 countries (for those who will be able to meet them) are still very far from the IPCC latest recommendations.

Regarding the advancement of implementation of 4.1, we participated in the ongoing debate between Annex 1 and Non-Annex 1 countries regarding their National Communication, Annex 1 arguing that since they had met their objective of producing theirs, it was now the turn of the developing countries to do so.

There is one incident we want to deplore is the attempt by the Global Climate Coalition (GCC, the Fossil Fuel lobby) to discredit Ambassador Estrada. The GCC held a press conference in Washington to denounce "The refusal of the AGBM Conference Chair to allow a discussion on the need for analysis and assessment of actions under consideration". The press release also stipulated that this behavior was discrediting the entire AGBM process. In reaction, ENGOs would like to point out that this attack on Ambassador Estrada's integrity is a dishonest attempt from the GCC to move us away from the real debate. Further more, this attack is based on a manipulated use of the event that took place during AGBM-2. In our view, the Chair directed AGBM-2 in a very able manner.

Finally, on the last day of the Session, it should be noted that there was a rather intense debate regarding the IPCC and its role in the AGBM process. Saudi Arabia, Kuwait and a few other countries tried - but failed in their attempt - to weaken the importance of IPCC in the work AGBM and its subsidiary bodies.

Steven Guilbeault
Coordinator, QCCC