

is such a breach of confidence that no lawyer worthy of the name could be found to commit it. Moreover, even if a lawyer were himself willing to commit such perfidy, the law itself, having regard to the sacredness of the relation subsisting between attorney and client, would from motives of public policy effectually seal his lips. But how about a lawyer accepting a retainer and voluntarily engaging in the defence of an accused person where he has, prior to his retention, direct knowledge of the prisoner's guilt, derived, we will say, from the accused's own confession? Is not such a defence highly unethical and evidence of a professional depravity in the lawyer who will dare to undertake it, the pseudo-moralist asks? And Lord Macaulay in his glittering style inquires, "Can it be right that a man should, with a wig on his head and a band round his neck, do for a guinea what, without those appendages, he would think it wicked and infamous to do for an empire?" To this rhetorical question we answer simply, "It can." The public hangman or chief electrocutioner can by virtue of his office and under warrant from the state legally and morally deprive of his life at the appointed time a murderer condemned to die; but let any one before such time seek to accomplish his death by lynch law or otherwise, and it is the duty of the sheriff or other proper custodian to defend him to the utmost, even to the point of taking life, although the prisoner may be richly deserving of death. His death, however, the law and good morals say, should be accomplished only by due process of law. The trouble with most detractors of the legal profession is that they fail utterly to comprehend the principle on which advocacy is based. Advocacy implies nothing more than the substitution for an actual litigant of a person professing special skill and learning in litigation to do on behalf of the litigant and in his stead all that he, if possessing sufficient knowledge and ability, might do for himself with fairness to his opponent. Every man, accused of an offence, has a constitutional right to a trial according to law; even if guilty, he ought not to be convicted and undergo punishment