

Toast — The Army and Navy

(Referring to England's interference to preserve order in Egypt.) "The Government sent a large force to Egypt and began an aggressive campaign *to prevent the people of Egypt from having such rulers as they desired.*" (12)

"It (the Soudan campaign) was a crime." (13)

"How was it with Admiral Seymour, the servant of a Monarchy? Let him refrain from bombarding, from behind his iron walls, the few miserable defences in Alexandria Bay, and never in his history, perhaps, would such an opportunity occur again to rescue his name from obscurity. Admiral Seymour might have thus reasoned: 'Negotiate this trouble peacefully, I remain poor and obscure. There is no danger; I am perfectly safe behind these iron walls; just open my guns, and fame and honour and rank and wealth are mine.'" (14)

"Fellow-countrymen, what would you think of a judge upon the bench deciding his own cause, where a verdict for the defendant meant to the judge obscurity and half-pay, and a verdict for the plaintiff meant a peerage and twenty-five thousand pounds? Yet this was precisely the position of Admiral Seymour at Alexandria, and it is practically the position occupied by every British commander to whom is committed the issue of peace or war in the 'exercise of his discretion.'" (15)

From Andrew Carnegie's TRIUMPHANT DEMOCRACY.

(Referring to the South African war.) "She (England) stands condemned before the 'civilized world. Her conduct is indefensible.'" (16)

From Article by Andrew Carnegie in THE NORTH AMERICAN REVIEW.

NOTES

1 The vulgarity and uncharitableness here displayed are probably a key to Mr. Carnegie's character.

2 If her Majesty's sex and universally recognized virtues were not thought by Mr. Carnegie a sufficient protection against so coarse an accusation, he might at least have had the honesty to remember that the money grants made by Parliament to the Queen were largely, if not entirely, offset by the revenue from the 'Crown Lands' surrendered by her to the nation; which 'Crown Lands' were as fully Her Majesty's private property as is any foot of land owned by Mr. Carnegie the property of that gentleman.

3 This is as malicious a misrepresentation of the Queen's character as it would be possible to make.

4 The general and generous tribute to the Queen's friendship for the United States paid by the American press of all shades of politics during her illness and after her death abundantly proves that Mr. Carnegie's 'estimate of human nature' *does* differ, and that very materially, from the estimates of his fellow-citizens.

5 Common decency and a sense of fair play would prevent ordinary men from making charges of this sort without offering proof. If one should allege that Mr. Carnegie's home circle is a hot-bed of vice he would doubtless consider it an outrage.

6, 7, 8 These are remarkable statements regarding a land which for generations has been conspicuous for freedom and justice; which has been the asylum of political refugees