

The Gateway

member of Canadian University Press

STAFF THIS ISSUE

Tonight the masses gathered in the Gateway office to hear the word: the word being that for the second week in a row the Gateway got it in the ear from Student Council. The only problem is that the irate staff members promptly (even before it was passed) refused 32 - 4 to have anything to do with the Gazette. This might prove a slight hassle for Goebbels & Co. down the hall and around the corner. Among the budding revolutionaries were: Ross William (fuck you, Paramount Pictures) Harvey, Rick (our reporting is biased) Grant, Elsie (I lost your story) Ross, John (revolutionize the masses) Payzant, Doug (get your picture orders in early) Kellough, Dave (things were better in Newfoundland) McCurdy, Harry (I used to be on council, I know what's happening) MacKendrick, Beth (I'm going home to my mother) Nilsen, Bob (I know nothing about layout — I'll do the Gazette) Blair, Henry (when do the mountains open up) Pallard, Bud (who the hell drew that line) Joberg, Colette (why am I doing this) Forest, Dennis (I'm getting paranoid) Zomershoe, Bob (I can't talk to council anymore) Beal, Frans (I came here to be educated) Slatter, Karen (can I go home now) Moeller, Ron (why can't I have more space) Ternaway, Ann (why did it do that) Parker, Winston (now you take the revolutionary potential of the Great Barrier Reef) Gereluk, Darryl (I want a copy of the constitution) Gregorash, Jerry (I can't write an editorial) Slavik, Ron (I'm here cause my wife's working tonite) Yakimchuk, Terry (hi . . . Winston) Malanchuk, Dick (Meritocracy is good for you) Nimmons, Don (when's the picture quality going to improve) Bruce, Joe (I volunteer) Prins, and I, Harvey G. (for Gazette) Thomgirt.

Departments: editor-in-chief - Bob Beal (432-5178), news - Elsie Ross (432-5168), sports - Ron Ternoway (432-4329), advertising - Percy Wickman (432-4241), production - Bud Joberg and Ron Yakimchuk, Photo - Barry Headrick and Don Bruce (432-4355), arts - Ross Harvey, and last but not least, publisher - Harvey G. Thomgirt (432-5168).

The Gateway is published bi-weekly by the students of the university of Alberta. The editor-in-chief is responsible for all material published herein. Short Short deadline is two days prior to publication. The Gateway is printed by North Hill News Ltd., Edmonton.

If you liked Hiroshima . . .

If the proposed nuclear blast at Amchitka is not a cause of concern or does not make one aware of what is actually going on, people are truly without thought or consciousness. If it is not a cause for indignation, anger, and rebellion, people are truly without conscience. In short, they are already the living dead — silent, passive, without life, waiting for the undertaker.

Young people, especially students, are supposed to be alive, concerned and involved. They are the hope for a "Greening of America". But first, changes must be made. Changes do not occur by just doing your own thing. They happen by getting together with others and doing some constructive political action. Political in the sense of being concerned about some of the larger issues affecting yourself and your brothers and sisters — like getting blown up.

U of A students, under the rare leadership of their Students' Council, will have the opportunity to engage in political action. Tomorrow at noon, a march is planned to the federal building to protest Canadian complicity in the American nuclear explosion. The march will be forming at SUB.

As Canadians we often feel a self-righteous aloofness from American imperialist policies and wars. We have no right to such a smug position. Just as we have supplied both raw and finished products to the American war effort against the peasants in Vietnam, we have also supplied the materials facilitating the manufacture of their nuclear explosives. Furthermore, through our "defense" agreements such as NORAD and NATO, we actively participate in their missile systems without substantial voice or influence. In short, they sominate our defense policy like they do our economy.

Trudeau neither condemns Vietnam nor Amchitka. Moreover, he refuses to enact concrete policies aimed at ending American domination of Canadian life. His passivity can only mean either resignation or tacit consent. We must demonstrate that neither position is acceptable. We must make clear that Amchitka America is not an alternative for Canadians.

But if the anti-imperialist or the Canadian nationalist reasons for protesting do not appeal, then consider the ecological implications of the blast. It is occurring in a geologically unstable zone. There is a possibility of wide-spread earthquakes extending throughout the Pacific region. Since it is just off the Canadian coast, Canada could be hardest hit. Tidal waves are almost assured. Radiation will be released into the sea and air, proceeding down the coast and over the mountains. It continues to be just another senseless technological destruction of our environment. When will those bastards learn that our earth is precious?

Finally, there is just the incredible, almost unbelievable mentality that leads to Amchitka. Amchitka is an abomination. However, more frightening is the realization that it is the inevitable product of the "normal" logic and rationale of a cold war militant society. A society which is encouraged and maintained by the primitive perverted mind of the Nixon, Agnew, Pentagon Complex. It is part of a society living by the premises and logic of escalation, ABM's, bigger bombs, faster planes, overkill, Hiroshima, Vietnam, nuclear holocausts, and claiming "That's performance." It is the demented world of Dr. Strangelove.

Revultion, rebellion, and revolution can be the only appropriate response to such insanity. They must begin with the awareness born of protest.

MARCH TOMORROW — find out what it is like to be impotent.

Besides, it may be a good time.

Whatever your political bag — Kesey, Mao, Watkins, Enrilich — Amchitka demands you act and protest.

DEAR DON.....

Gateway does not want the Gazette rammed down its throat.

Monday afternoon about 35 staffers got together (thanks for the ultimatum Beal) to discuss relevant and irrelevant garbage, including our losing half a page to your junk, Don.

All but four of those present felt that it is our duty to resist your actions unless the Union pays Gateway for the lost space.

That or the Gazette is "camera ready" when we get it. We're not going to work on the stuff unless it's ready for the printers when we get it. After all, it takes a lot of time to set type and do the layout.

You told editor Beal at Monday's Council meeting that we would have to work on the Gazette no matter in what form we receive it.

But what if we refuse? What then, Don? Will you come down here to get your choice little items put into the Gateway?

It is our position that Gateway cannot afford to lose any of the present copy or advertising space to free propaganda for the Union.

from The Gateway Staff

Burn parking tickets

Whereas parking allotments are being laboriously processed while parking lots around campus sit half-empty,

Whereas the university transforms more and more residential streets into parking zones, thereby excluding those who fail to qualify for parking permits,

Whereas the student enrollment has fallen below projected numbers for the second consecutive year, while the University of Alberta continues to erect buildings and plan for new ones as parking space disappears under construction sites,

Whereas Cliff's Towaway Service is doing such a booming business that (a map of the University of Alberta hangs on his office wall) it may take half an hour before they can leave their ringing phones to take your money, Whereas we are not alone: uncounted numbers who depend

on their cars but lack parking permits are forced to ride buses or park illegally, others arrive at six o'clock in the morning to compete for what space is available while still others (the growing number of losers) have had their cars tagged and/or towed away,

Whereas and insofar as the situation is intolerable,

We hereby propose a new alternative to paying or appealing parking tickets: namely, BURNING THEM. We suggest that this take place at a ceremony to be held at Thursday noon in the quad behind SUB, with provisions that, given strong enough advanced notification of your intention to participate, picnic refreshments could be arranged (and with provisions that, should the parking squeeze-play continue unabated, Thurs. noon hour bonfires could become an exciting new ritual at this university.)

The effectiveness of this protest will depend to a large extent on the size of the demonstration. We see value in such a demonstration in uniting students who hitherto have been invidiously divided through competition for an increasingly scarce commodity. At best we open up a channel whereby our opinions may be heard and confronted (rather than kept on file in the Printing Services Building); at worst, it supplies an outlet for mounting frustration. Surveying the student body of the University of Alberta we find a nonentity held together by mononucleosis, apathy and other communicable diseases. Taking a stand together on a parking ticket is no grass roots movement, but it is at least a sign of life.

If this concerns you, phone 433-2984, or just drop by the quad with your ticket on Thurs. noon. Bring your own matches.

Ted Goodden
Terry Sefton

IRATE ABOUT YEARBOOK

ED ' NOTE: The following letter was addressed to the staff of the Yearbook.

Having just perused the contents of my so-called Yearbook, 1970 - 71, I am using my hostile feeling to energetically, and, I might add, angrily pound out this letter, not expecting that it will have any impression upon your spoilt little selves, but in order that people on this campus will be aware that not all the students are as self-righteous, narrow-minded, biased and irresponsible as those who composed this yearbook.

Cases in Point:

I resent the fact that the Vol. 1 of the "YEARBOOK" was used to present the opinions of a select number of people, in order to portray only the philosophy, if such garbage deserves being called philosophy, of the negative-minded, spaced-out few. Has it occurred to you that a yearbook should be representative of the majority of the people who want to have it, those being not types characterized in Vol. 1, but sane, generally happy,

well-adjusted, not necessarily apathetic, and open-minded individuals. Who gave you permission to use the yearbook as a sounding board for your own pessimistic, whiney points of view? Who gave you permission to use our money to make the whole university situation look ridiculous? Lord, you are acting like a bunch of irresponsible children.

I resent the center comic insert, in which the main idea is that this "brain factory", as you label it, is designed only to equip people with skills for jobs, and is failing at this university to do so. Has it ever occurred to you that many people at this university *enjoy* being educated, *Know* why they are here, that for those resourceful, energetic many who get up off their butts and move, there are any number of available occupations. I think your whole attitude towards education and the purpose of same is sick!

Who ever told you that university is a total education in living? A person gains no more knowledge about people, social relationships, and life by sitting in

a university lecture than he did between Grades 1 to 12 previously, if he has made up his mind to be unreceptive to education. No university calendar offers a full year course in "discovering one's self". You learn about life by living it, dummies. So don't give us any more of that garbage about "you learn from the University the way you learn from any negative thing." One learns in any situation if one *wants* to. And I'll speak for myself, thank you, rather than endure the off-base babblings of the magniloquent Yearbook staff.

I'm insulted to have pictures of my friends, who feel differently about the university than yourselves, put in a book of which the first part has been used by a small group of people to air their sick viewpoints. You have no right to use the yearbook in this manner, and to by doing so intimidate those who put their pictures in the publication.

I am disgusted and irate. Why don't you go someplace else to play your children's games?

Very thoughtfully,
C.A. Larson.

----- FIVE -----