

Lord SHAND.—Do you think it is necessary for us to go much deeper : that there was established a system of denominational education which was regarded as a privilege by all the parties who were in the minority ?

Mr. BLAKE.—No ; but I should not like to be taken as acceding to any view or statement which is put to me which might be held by any perverse ingenuity as telling against me later.

Lord SHAND.—It would be a very different thing to go to the Governor General to ask him to establish a denominational system, or get him to ask the legislature to do it. I do not think you would ask that. You would ask the Governor General to do it.

Mr. BLAKE.—What we ask your Lordships is, what the privileges were and how far they have been infringed ; and then we propose to ask the Governor General to determine how far he will go. I do not ask your Lordship to make any suggestion as to his action, which I conceived from the beginning is political. He is to be instructed as to the law ; and then his action and the action of the Parliament will carry the thing out.

Lord SHAND.—I was not asking for a moment as to that. I was looking to see what your steps would be afterwards.

Mr. BLAKE.—Yes. One step at one time. If your Lordships will allow me to advance a step by reversing this decision I shall be content.

The Lord CHANCELLOR.—We will consider our judgment.

*Judgment of the Lords of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council on the appeal of Brophy and others vs. The Attorney General of Manitoba, from the Supreme Court of Canada ; Delivered 29th January, 1895.*

NOTE.—See *ante*, pages 1 to 11.