

2. If so, was he associated with one Rev. Dr. Roche in the editorship of the Catholic Register at Toronto?

3. Were reports made to the Government as to Rev. Dr. Roche's associations with Austrian enemies and with one Max Pam, of Chicago?

4. If so, did the Government take any steps in the matter?

5. Is the Government aware as to whether or not the said Dr. Roche left Canada at the outbreak of the war?

6. Since his appointment as chaplain in the Canadian Expeditionary Force, did the said Burke visit Chicago? If so, was his business there of an official character?

7. Is the Government aware as to whether or not he met the said Roche at or near Chicago?

8. Has the said Burke at any time since his appointment as chaplain been under surveillance by Dominion officials?

9. If so, were reports made to the Government, and will these reports, if any, be laid on the Table of the House?

10. Is the Government aware as to whether or not the said Burke visited Canada about the end of 1916 and in conjunction with a representative of the British diplomatic or secret service, made certain representations to the Government?

11. Is the said Burke now in Ottawa? If so, is the Government aware as to what he is doing?

MR. THOMAS J. PARKES.

Mr. EDMOND PROULX moved:

For a copy of all correspondence, letters, telegrams and other communications passed between the Government or any member thereof or the employees and officials of the Department of Militia and Defence or any other department, and one Thos. J. Parkes, insurance agent, of the city of Sherbrooke, province of Quebec, concerning the release or promotion of his two sons now on active service with the Canadian Expeditionary Forces.

Sir EDWARD KEMP: Would my hon. friend be good enough, before this motion passes, to supplement it with the Christian name of the person to whom he refers, the rank, the battalion number and the number of the soldier himself. It is almost impossible to find in the record the information asked for by my hon. friend unless he gives me the information for which I ask.

Motion stands.

#### WHEAT REGULATIONS.

On the Orders of the Day:

Hon. FRANK OLIVER (Edmonton): On Saturday I asked a question in the House relating to the Department of Trade and Commerce, during the minister's absence. Perhaps he will be good enough to answer it to-day.

Sir GEORGE FOSTER: I can perhaps best state the position by reading the orders that have been passed by the Board of

Grain Supervisors for Canada. The first is as follows:

It is hereby ordered by the Board of Grain Supervisors for Canada that until the 31st of August, 1917, inclusive, the price of wheat, basis No. 1 Northern in store at public terminal elevators at Fort William and Port Arthur, shall not exceed nor be less than two dollars and forty cents (\$2.40) per bushel. This applies to all wheat, whether of the old or of the new crop, in store in public terminal elevators at Fort William and Port Arthur up to and including August 31, 1917. Holders of warehouse receipts, which hold any date prior to and including August 31, 1917, will be entitled to the above basis price of \$2.40 per bushel, No. 1 northern wheat, only on condition that they have sold the wheat at Fort William and Port Arthur and delivered the receipts therefor not later than noon of August 31, 1917.

Under the other order, trading in wheat for future delivery will cease on all grain exchanges in Canada on August 31.

Mr. OLIVER: Would the minister be good enough to state the reason for the order being made, and its expected probable effect?

Sir GEORGE FOSTER: I would rather my hon. friend put that question to-morrow, as communications are now under way with respect to the details.

Mr. OLIVER: I also drew the minister's attention on Saturday to what appears to be an inaccuracy in a statement he had previously given to the House in regard to the price of grain at Minneapolis. I thought it only fair to give him an opportunity to correct or explain the inaccuracy.

Sir GEORGE FOSTER: The figures I gave to the House were derived from actual transactions, and transactions in Canadian No. 1 Northern, so that eliminates all difficulties of comparison as to the difference in quality between Canadian No. 1 Northern and No. 1 Northern United States. On August 16, the following actual transaction took place: No. 1 Northern, to arrive in Minneapolis ten days thereafter—this sale was at \$2 per bushel. On August 17, two other actual transactions took place as follows: No. 1 Northern, to arrive on the 25th of August, \$2.20; loaded on cars in Canada \$2.

I might also give Saturday's quotations at Winnipeg, Duluth and Minneapolis: No. 1 Northern cash wheat at Winnipeg, \$2.40; at Minneapolis, cash wheat, \$2.40 to \$2.45. No. 2 Northern at Winnipeg, \$2.40; at Minneapolis, \$2.35 to \$2.40. No. 3 Northern at Winnipeg, \$2.40; at Minneapolis, \$2.15 to \$2.30. It will be noticed that on Saturday the three grades approximated in value