transmitted through three successive generations, though in some instances the affection passes over one generation to re-appear in the next. He also relates the case of a family of sixteen persons, eight of whom were born deaf and dumb, and one at least of the members of which transmitted the affection to his descendants as far as the third generation. There can be little doubt that congenital deaf-mutism, in the great majority of instances, is associated with a defective development, and therefore a structural variation of the organ of hearing, though in some cases, perhaps, the defect may be in the development of the brain itself.

Although a sufficient number of cases has now been put on record to prove that in some families one or other kind of congenital deformity may be hereditarily transmitted, yet I do not wish it to be supposed that congenital malformations may not arise in individuals in whom no hereditary tendency can be traced. It is undoubtedly true that family histories are in many cases very defective, and frequently cannot be followed back for more than one, or, at the most, two generations; so that it is not unlikely that an hereditary predisposition may exist in many instances where it cannot be proved. Still, allowing even for a considerable proportion of such cases, a sufficient number will remain to warrant the statement that malformations or variations in structure which have not been displayed by their ancestors may arise in individuals belonging to a particular generation.

The variations which I have spoken of as congenital malformations arise, as a rule, before the time of birth, during the early development of the individual; but there is an important class of cases, in which the evidence for hereditary transmission is more or less strong, which may not exhibit their peculiarities until months, or even years, after the birth of the individual. This class is spoken of as Hereditary Diseases, and the structural and functional changes which they produce exercise most momentous influences. Sometimes these diseases may occasion changes in the tissues and organs of the body of considerable magnitude, but at other times the alteration is much more subtle, is molecular in its character, requires the microscope for its determination, or is even incapable of being recognized by that instrument.

Had one been discussing the subject of hereditary disease twenty years ago, the first example probably that would have been adduced would have been tuberculosis, but the additions to our knowledge of late years throw some doubt upon its hereditary character. There can, of course, be no question that tubercular disease propagates itself in numerous families from generation to generation, and that such families show a special susceptibility or tendency to this disease in one or other of its forms. But, while fully admitting the predisposition to it which exists in certain families, there is reason to think that the structural disease itself is not hereditarily transmitted, but that it is directly excited in each individual in whom it appears by a process of external infection due to the action of the tubercle bacillus. Still, if the disease itself be not inherited, a particular temperament which renders the constitution liable to be attacked by it is capable of transmission.

Sir James Paget ("Lect. on Surg. Path.," 3rd ed.), writing on the subject