

is very much overstated. Many would go elsewhere in any case. I assume, of course, that the Committee had at its hand the available information concerning the Graduate School. I quote the following paragraph from Dr. Tory's Report at the 12th National Conference of Canadian Universities in 1928 and referring to the University of Alberta,-

"Only one out of 164

Further, I have inquired especially regarding our more recent graduates and find that of the one hundred and sixty-four who received degrees and diplomas a year ago there are at present only six in the United States, four of whom are studying for higher degrees and intend returning to Canada, when they have completed their courses, while one was a native of the United States, leaving only a possible loss of one Canadian out of one hundred and sixty-four."

I am not in any way contesting the statement that thorough developing of our Graduate School is most essential and that this is one of the most important fields of activities.

With reference to the third paragraph of Section 6, I do not know whether the Committee is acquainted with the physical and curricular changes that have taken place in the Faculty of Applied Science. If not, I should like to know who is responsible for the statement that the School "is lagging behind to a distinctly inferior position" and with what knowledge the statement is made. Has any such comparison over a period of twenty years been prepared, when and by whom?

It is quite true that there are two very important departments in the Massachusetts Institute of Technology which have no parallel at McGill. First, there is the Institute of Chemical Industry in which research workers apply themselves to practical and pressing problems of industry. It should be