

of movements within the buildings by the public without intruding in any obvious way on the undoubted rights of citizens to approach their Parliament.

While your Committee has made certain recommendations to Mr. Speaker about security arrangements and the duties of the staff of the protective service, your Committee feels that the House can be proud justifiably about the manner in which the protective staff carries out its duties and in particular in the balance it achieves between assisting the public and providing control and protection within the buildings.

While studying the question of security, your Committee held several *in camera* meetings of which transcripts were made for the use of the Committee only and it was agreed by the Committee that these transcripts should remain confidential because of the nature of the subject.

Mr. Blair, from the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization, presented the Third Report of the said Committee, which is as follows:

Pursuant to its Order of Reference of Wednesday, October 28, 1970, your Committee recommends that it be granted permission to travel outside of Canada, namely to Washington, D.C., to visit the U.S. Congress for the purpose of studying the installations there for radio and television broadcasting and the arrangements made for reporters of the electronic media and related questions and that the Clerk of the Committee and the necessary supporting staff do accompany the Committee.

The Order being read for the consideration of the Business of Supply;

Pursuant to Standing Order 58, Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse), seconded by Mr. Dionne, moved,—That this House regrets that the government has not seen fit to apply Social Credit monetary policy.

And debate arising thereon;

Mr. Downey proposed to move in amendment thereto,—That all the words after “House” be deleted and the following substituted:

“blames the government for not adopting fiscal and monetary policies designed to effectively bring about full expansion of the economy with minimum inflation.”

#### RULING BY MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: As the honourable Member rose I was about to make a ruling which may or may not be helpful. In any event, I appreciate the honourable Member's gesture. Perhaps, though, I should put on record in *Hansard* my thoughts as to the admissibility of the amendment. I think I should confirm my first reaction to the amendment put forward by the honourable Member for Battle River; honourable Members who have

spoken in the procedural debate have fortified me in this respect. It does seem to me that what we are considering here is something more than an amendment; it does more than qualify or amend the motion moved by the honourable Member for Bellechasse inasmuch as it provides the basis for an entirely different debate. On this ground alone, it would have to be ruled out of order.

Moreover, as honourable Members, particularly the honourable Member for Bellechasse, have mentioned it does seem that when under our rules, opposition parties agree as to the election of a subject on a particular day, the spirit of fair play would require that the day should not be taken away by means of an amendment.

I might also say—and the honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre mentioned this in his helpful argument—and it seems to me that the provision in our Standing Orders requiring notice would be useless or, at best, irrelevant, if, one group having given notice, the motion were to be amended so as to make it possible to deal with an entirely new aspect of the matter. I am not being critical of any amendments, but I am excluding the validity of an amendment which does entirely reverse the argument, or the stream of the motion. I am sure effect must be given to the Standing Order which requires that notice be given. For those reasons I must rule the amendment out of order.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse), seconded by Mr. Dionne,—That this House regrets that the government has not seen fit to apply Social Credit monetary policy.

After further debate, proceedings expired.

#### (Proceedings on Adjournment Motion)

At 10.00 o'clock p.m., the question “That this House do now adjourn” was deemed to have been proposed pursuant to Standing Order 40(1);

After debate the said question was deemed to have been adopted.

#### Changes in Committee Membership

Notice having been filed with the Clerk of the House pursuant to Standing Order 65(4)(b), membership of Committees was amended as follows:

Mr. Lessard (Lac-Saint-Jean) for Mr. Breau on the Standing Committee on Agriculture.

Messrs. McNulty and Deakon for Messrs. Goode and Gibson on the Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs.

Messrs. Stewart (Marquette) and Thomas (Moncton) for Messrs. La Salle and Murta on the Standing Committee on Transport and Communications.

Messrs. Weatherhead, Boulanger, Murphy, O'Connell and Forest for Messrs. Whicher, Badanai, Foster, Blair and Énard on the Standing Committee on Veterans Affairs.