tains that they are not inconsistent with Scripture rightly interpreted. The work is by no means a catechism; but the greater part of it is in the form of question and answer. It is considerably condensed, and will be relished chiefly by professional students; though it is not at all intended exclusively for them. Indeed the author tells us that it originated in connection with the immediate work of instructing the members of his pastoral charge, and that several parts of it were delivered to his congregation every Sabbath night. It is accordingly rather popular in its composition, and while far from light reading, is quite intelligible to any one willing to make it a subject of study.

LECTURES ON METAPHYSICS AND LOGIC, BY SIR WILLIAM HAM-ILTON, BART., Professor of Logic and Metaphysics, in the University of Edinburyh. Edited by the Rev. H. L. Mansel, B.D., Oxford, and John Veitch, M.A., Edinburgh. In two volumes. Vol. II., Logic. Royal 8vo, pp 731. Boston: Gould and Lincoln, Hamilton, C. W.: Brown & Co. 1860.

This volume completes the American edition of Sir William Hamilton's Lectures, which gives in two massive, and really handsome, tomes what occupies four at home. Considering the nature of this work, it is almost sufficient for us here to inform Canadian students that they may now have it, in quite a satisfactory form, for just half the British price. We have no doubt that it will become the standard work on Logic, and will, of course displace Whately's Elements; or, some simplification if it will be

produced which will be better adapted for teaching.

That Sir William was preëminent for talents and learning, is universally admitted; but he seems to have been exceedingly well aware of it. He is always dogmatical, frequently imperious, and sometimes fierce, and almost savage. The admirers of the late Dr. Thomas Brown, who are many, could not but be shocked at the truculence with which he is treated in the preceding volume; and they will still believe that, in point of acuteness and ingenuity, he surpassed his reviewer and almost all other men. In the present volume the Archbishop of Dublin is spoken of, as an author, in a manner the reverse of complimentary. The Elements, it is said, are "far behind the advancement of the science of which they treat; they are deformed with numerous and serious errors; and the only recommendation they possess is that of being the best book on the subject in a language which has absolutely no other deserving of notice."

Many are disposed to speak slightingly of the University of Edinburgh, on account of its want of discipline. In that respect we are not prepared to defend it, though, of late, it has greatly improved; but as affording the means and opportunities of education, we know of no school by which it is surpassed. Let those given to sneer at it, master Sir W. Hamilton's two volumes, if they can; and besides doing a good work for themselves, they will probably form a juster and more respectful estimate

of Alma Mater.