

elp me pay  
ld pay any  
price was—  
and nothing

ll my pails;  
ght. I have  
years with-  
ure my cus-  
e weight of  
, and this  
nake a 2-lb.  
a 5-lb. pail  
weight. I  
giving gross  
rs have told  
right to be

ough not to  
o those who

ake it their  
standard is  
question of  
ils.

er can bring  
weight pails,  
) satisfactor-  
ay for pails;  
faction with  
eeper would  
ll would be  
ou see! You  
ey, pail in-  
so much a  
r, pails paid

eration with  
ominion are  
a standard  
ss. We cer-  
ulties if we  
rd, to which  
I predict  
bee-keeping  
ndard size of  
ndard weight  
wrong, then I  
will be gross

weight, as it will be perfectly honest; customers will expect it so, and no other way.

Arnstein, Ontario.

John McEwen.

I have read the articles in the last Journal by Mr. Byer, and Mr. Haberer re the weight of honey to the pail. I am not going to say Mr. Haberer is wrong; but years of experience has brought me to Mr. Byer's side of the question. For years I put ten pounds of honey in each pail, making pail and honey ten and three-quarters pounds, but never yet succeeded in getting a better price per pail than the man who put up a ten-pound package. In looking back over my experience I can see that I have given away hundreds of dollars. You will, therefore, see that I am in favor of the ten-pound package.

Claudeboye.

George Rogers.

In reading the C.B.J. I notice that the different opinions in regard to the size and filling of honey pails is asked for. I am glad that the discussion has been started, and hope that some of our fathers in the business may suggest something that will be most likely to meet with general satisfaction.

I will state the method I have been following, just for the sake of giving my plan to be corrected if wrong.

I use the 5, 10 and 15-lb. sizes, and hold the price of each out of honey, and it seems to meet general satisfaction. Nobody finds fault. The way that I came at this way of filling was talking with a grocery firm that bought largely from me. They said it made evener change when selling and no objections were raised when the purchasers were informed. Hoping to hear from others.

Hollen, Ont.

## MR. WHEELER REPLIES TO MR. WILLIAMS.

Leon C. Wheeler.

R. P. Williams, page 15, wants to know if I consider once shaking to be enough to cure a foul brood colony.

I never shake but once, but please remember I treat them when there is only a very light flow on. If one were to shake during the height of a honey flow, possibly there might be some colonies that would need a second shaking, unless confined for a day or two, or rather two or three days, as advocated by some. However I have shaken several colonies when the clover flow was on in full force, (it was not, however, a heavy rush of honey like we have some years), and none of them showed the disease again. These were given only the one shaking and were not confined.

If I found it necessary to treat colonies again in the height of the honey flow, I should shake them only once and then watch them closely for a re-appearance of the disease. I should expect that not more than one in ten would ever show the disease again, and were one to lose that colony entirely, (which would be unnecessary), still he would be ahead when he counts the gain from the other nine, saved by shaking only once.

I have very little trouble with bees absconding, when I shake them and give them their liberty at once, but when I have kept them penned up for a couple or three days I have lost about 40% of them in this manner.

I always aim to treat as many of my colonies in the evening, as possible, and I believe this helps in a measure to keep them from absconding, as they have a chance to get used to the new order of things, and when day light comes they will have gotten over their notion.

The utmost care should always be taken that the disease be not carried from hive to hive through the medium of the