

their traditional leadership, of trusting them, whereas the Irish knew their landlords to be foreigners and responded accordingly. They are independent today.

Canadians must not make the same mistake by trusting their traditional leadership. The traditional leadership of this country, Liberal and Conservative, is so bought and paid for, so integrated and assimilated into the business elite who wanted these deals in the first place, that they will sell us out each in their own way. The Liberals might feel a bit more guilty about it. They might be a bit like Lord Selkirk and resettle some of us in the economic Red River of the future if they can design one, but we will be cleared all the same, along with everything else that makes us Canadian.

I think I have honestly put the reality of the choices that Canadians face. All political parties have an obligation to say exactly where they are on these choices and why. I have tried to do that today for the NDP. I know where the government stands. Where do the Liberals stand?

I know the hon. member for Winnipeg South Centre is a critic of the Canada-U.S. Free Trade Agreement. I am truly puzzled and disappointed by his apparent retreat from that position. He now talks about shaping globalization. Globalization will not be shaped. The global community will be shaped when enough of us are willing to stand outside the mindset of globalization, name it for what it really is and set about the very difficult task of building an alternative.

**Mr. Don Blenkarn (Mississauga South):** Madam Speaker, I am very fond of the member who introduced the motion. This was the most eloquent new dinosaur speech I have heard for a long time, dinosaur in the sense that the New Democratic Party would want the country and the world to go back to Jules Verne's *Around the World in 80 Days*, high tariff, a world that is back where it used to be when we cleared the highland lands.

This is a world that is increasingly getting smaller and smaller. The fax machine and the satellite move news at unbelievable speed. To have a speech based on bring back the high tariffs, bring back big protection, make Canada an island of protection is unbelievable.

I, therefore, want to ask the member what he would do. Would he abolish the free trade agreement? Would he put the tariffs back where Sir John A. had them? Would we go back to 50 per cent tariffs on our manufac-

urers coming in? Would we try to be self-sufficient in Canada? Has he got a new way to grow oranges? What kind of a Canada does he want? Does he want us all back in little tiny houses with maybe one bathroom and no car? Are we going to go back to the Depression? Would he really want us back to riding the rails? Are we going back to the days of the CCF in Regina? Would he really want that kind of Canada? Does he want to throw away companies like Northern Telecom? Does he want to throw away all of our R and D investment? Does he want to put us back where we have no world markets? What kind of Canada does the NDP see?

**Mr. Blaikie:** Madam Speaker, as usual the member for Mississauga South completely missed the point, particularly the point about the highland clearances. It was not a point of trying to go back to any particular point in time, it was a question about the mistake that people can sometimes make in trusting their leadership. Sometimes that leadership sells them out to other interests. That is the claim I am making about Canadian corporate leadership in this country today. Whether it finds its expression in the Liberal or the Conservative Party, we are in the process, and have been for some time, of being sold out by our own leadership. That was the point I was trying to make and I am sorry if it went over the head of the hon. member for Mississauga South.

With respect to the question of tariffs, I did not even speak about tariffs. The member knows that with respect to tariffs before the beginning of the free trade agreement, 80 per cent of our trade with the United States was tariff-free in any event. The objection to the free trade agreement on the part of the NDP has a lot more to do with the other things that are involved in the agreement than simply the matter of tariffs. I think that is a complete misrepresentation and stereotyping of what I was trying to say.

It is not a question of going back; it is never a question of going back. It is a only a question of going ahead. I accept the global village. I accept that it is not around the world in 80 days anymore, but through satellite communication, around the world in 80 seconds perhaps. I made that point, if the hon. member would have listened to the speech. I said what we needed to create was a global community rather than a global marketplace. I hope that the member will take the opportunity on Monday to read *Hansard* so that he can see the great chunks of my speech