

Routine Proceedings

2 (i) refers to the wind energy demonstration project throughout the text.

(ii) refers to the Canadian Project Preparation Facility engineering study proposal throughout the text.

* * *

[English]

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

Mr. Albert Cooper (Parliamentary Secretary to Government House Leader): Mr. Speaker, if Questions Nos. 83, 91, and 113 could be made Orders for Return, these returns would be tabled immediately.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House that Questions Nos. 83, 91, and 113 be deemed to have been made Orders for Return?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

[Text]

TAX REMISSIONS

Question No. 83—Mr. Kindy:

1. For each year since 1970, what was the total received by any company or corporation in tax breaks or remissions?

2. For each year and, in each case, what was the name of the company or corporation and the amount of the tax break or remission obtained by (a) regulation (b) Order in Council (c) negotiation?

Return tabled.

PURCHASE OF DISPOSABLE UTENSILS

Question No. 91—Ms. Copps:

For each year since 1985, has the government purchased styrofoam cups, plates, trays or bowls for use in government offices, cafeterias, agencies or institutions and, if so (a) what number of each item was purchased (b) at what cost (c) who were the manufacturers (d) did any of the items contain chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and, if so, what percentage of the (i) cups (ii) plates (iii) trays (iv) bowls contained CFCs?

Return tabled.

APPOINTMENTS TO CANADIAN PAROLE BOARD

Question No. 113—Mr. Kaplan:

Were any of the current members of the National Parole Board appointed by Solicitors General and, if so, for each Solicitor General what is the (a) name (b) background, in brief résumé form, of each appointee?

Return tabled.

[English]

STARRED QUESTIONS

Mr. Albert Cooper (Parliamentary Secretary to Government House Leader): Mr. Speaker, would you be so kind as to call Starred Questions Nos. 86 and 87.

Mr. Speaker, due to the length of the responses I ask that they be printed in *Hansard* as if read.

[Text]

CFB MONCTON

***Question No. 86—Mr. Rideout:**

1. Has the Department of Regional Industrial Expansion made any studies of the economic impact, both locally and on the province of New Brunswick, of a closure or reduction in service at CFB Moncton?

2. Were there full consultations within the government with regard to the possibility of a closure or reduction in service at CFB Moncton?

Mr. Albert Cooper (Leader of the Government in the House of Commons): I am informed by the Departments of Regional Industrial Expansion and National Defence as follows:

1. No.

2. Consultations were conducted within the constraints of budget secrecy.

DECISION TO ANNOUNCE \$100 MILLION REFURBISHMENT FOR CFB MONCTON

***Question No. 87—Mr. Rideout:**

1. Did the government rely upon particular facts or reports in deciding to announce a \$100 million refurbishment for CFB Moncton in June 1988, and, if so, which ones?

2. Were any steps taken by government staff following this announcement and, if so, what were they?

3. Did the government rely upon any particular facts in deciding to close CFB Moncton and, if so, which ones?

Hon. William McKnight (Minister of National Defence): 1. Yes, in 1983 a comprehensive survey of CFB Moncton infrastructure was carried out as part of the Base Development Plan. This study recommended the construction of a new 5 Canadian Forces Supply Depot (5 CFSD) to replace present inadequate and widely dispersed facilities. These findings were supported by the recommendations of the Depot Modernization Task Force (DMTF) created in 1985 to analyse deficiencies in the Canadian Forces Supply System. The proposal to replace 5 CFSD Moncton was formalized with acceptance of the project submission by the Construction Priority Screening Committee (CPSC) in February 1986 and reaffirmed by a review of DND infrastructure carried out in June 1988. The estimate of \$100 million reflects the projected cost to construct and equip a stand alone depot.