

1978-79 3,437,356

Note: The above figures include statutory expenditures charged to National Defence appropriations for public service employee benefit plans, military pensions, pensioners contributions and other benefits and they were as follows for the year in question:

Fiscal Year	Expenditures (thousands of dollars)
1974-75	349,332
1975-76	412,008
1976-77	422,286
1977-78	434,645
1978-79	356,953

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS—PASSPORTS

Question No. 437—**Mr. Cossitt:**

1. Does the Department of External Affairs, when issuing passports, stamp thereon the following words "Not valid for travel to North Korea, Rhodesia, Namibia and Taiwan" and, if so (a) on what date was this instituted (b) what are the names of all the persons having a part in such a decision?
2. Is travel to the Soviet Block countries of Eastern Europe permitted and, if so, for what reason is travel to North Korea not permitted?
3. Is it possible to travel to Chile and, if so, for what reason is it not possible to travel to Rhodesia?
4. Will the government review the policy of restricting the freedom of Canadians and cease forthwith, from stamping such restrictions on Canadian passports as well as withdrawing them from existing passports?

Hon. Flora MacDonald (Secretary of State for External Affairs):

1. The Department of External Affairs when issuing ordinary passports does not stamp in them the words "Not valid for travel to North Korea, Rhodesia, Namibia and Taiwan". This stamp is placed in special and diplomatic passports only.
 - (a) The stamping of diplomatic and special passports was instituted on February 17, 1976.
 - (b) The decision was made by the Department of External Affairs under the Passport Regulations Charge of April 21, 1973, and was subsequently confirmed by the then Secretary of State for External Affairs.
2. The Department of External Affairs does not prohibit travel to any country. The Department does, however, place a restriction on the use of diplomatic and special passports for travel to North Korea, Rhodesia, Namibia and Taiwan. The reason for this policy is that travel on official passports is not appropriate to entities whose governments Canada does not recognize and with which it has no diplomatic relations.
3. It is possible to travel to Chile and Rhodesia on ordinary passports. Diplomatic and special passports are not valid for travel to Rhodesia, as in accordance with mandatory United Nations sanctions Canada does not recognize Rhodesia and has no diplomatic relations in Rhodesia.
4. As stated, the government has not restricted the freedom of Canadians to travel since ordinary passports are made valid for travel to all countries.

Order Paper Questions

[Translation]

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

Mr. David Kilgour (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, if questions No. 325 and 326 could be passed as orders for returns, those returns would be tabled immediately.

I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

[Text]

LOTO CANADA

Question No. 325—**Mr. Dick:**

1. Did Loto Canada, under the contract with General Instruments for the provision of a computer lottery gaming system (or Loto Select), purchase any of the terminals, computers or data processing equipment and, if not, was such equipment leased or rented and, if so (a) what were the terms and length of time of the lease (b) did Loto Canada retain the right to sublease or dispose of the equipment in a beneficial way?
2. Was there any provision in the contract specifying that persons employed to (a) instal (b) operate (c) maintain the equipment would be Canadian or U.S. citizens?
3. Prior to Loto Canada's decision to develop a computer lottery gaming system, did it hire any consulting firms or individuals to examine the operations of other similar lottery games and, if so (a) what were their names and where were they located (b) how much were they paid?
4. Did any agent or consultant acting on behalf of Loto Canada or any employee of Loto Canada visit any other jurisdictions to examine the operations of other similar computer lottery gaming systems and, if so (a) what are their names (b) what jurisdictions did they visit (c) what was the total cost of expenses paid by Loto Canada for such visits?
5. Did the initial contract call for the installation of 2,000 computer terminals throughout Canada and, if so, by what date?
6. Did all terminals have to be installed and in operation by that date and, if not, was there an additional date?
7. Did the contract include the supply of three central computers to be installed in Canada and, if so (a) in which cities (b) were they built specifically for use in Loto Select or were they off-the-shelf equipment supplied by General Instruments?
8. Did Loto Canada, in preparation for entering into its computer lottery gaming system (or Loto Select), enter into any other contracts with other persons, partnerships or companies in Canada or United States for the purchase, lease or rental of consulting services, furniture, office space, leasehold improvements, advertising, promotional materials, tickets, printed literature, office supplies, display boards or any other product, article or service and, if so (a) what are the names and locations of such persons, partnerships or companies (b)